#### 18.175: Lecture 8

Weak laws and moment-generating/characteristic functions

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Weak law of large numbers: Markov/Chebyshev approach

Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Weak law of large numbers: Markov/Chebyshev approach

Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

• Let X be a random variable.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The moment generating function of X is defined by  $M(t) = M_X(t) := E[e^{tX}].$

- Let X be a random variable.
- The moment generating function of X is defined by  $M(t) = M_X(t) := E[e^{tX}].$

- Let X be a random variable.
- The moment generating function of X is defined by  $M(t) = M_X(t) := E[e^{tX}].$
- When X is discrete, can write M(t) = ∑<sub>x</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> p<sub>X</sub>(x). So M(t) is a weighted average of countably many exponential functions.

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The moment generating function of X is defined by M(t) = M<sub>X</sub>(t) := E[e<sup>tX</sup>].
- When X is discrete, can write M(t) = ∑<sub>x</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> p<sub>X</sub>(x). So M(t) is a weighted average of countably many exponential functions.
- When X is continuous, can write M(t) = ∫<sup>∞</sup><sub>-∞</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> f(x)dx. So M(t) is a weighted average of a continuum of exponential functions.

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The moment generating function of X is defined by M(t) = M<sub>X</sub>(t) := E[e<sup>tX</sup>].
- When X is discrete, can write M(t) = ∑<sub>x</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> p<sub>X</sub>(x). So M(t) is a weighted average of countably many exponential functions.
- When X is continuous, can write M(t) = ∫<sup>∞</sup><sub>-∞</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> f(x)dx. So M(t) is a weighted average of a continuum of exponential functions.
- We always have M(0) = 1.

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The moment generating function of X is defined by M(t) = M<sub>X</sub>(t) := E[e<sup>tX</sup>].
- When X is discrete, can write M(t) = ∑<sub>x</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> p<sub>X</sub>(x). So M(t) is a weighted average of countably many exponential functions.
- When X is continuous, can write M(t) = ∫<sup>∞</sup><sub>-∞</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> f(x)dx. So M(t) is a weighted average of a continuum of exponential functions.
- We always have M(0) = 1.
- If b > 0 and t > 0 then  $E[e^{tX}] \ge E[e^{t\min\{X,b\}}] \ge P\{X \ge b\}e^{tb}.$

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The moment generating function of X is defined by M(t) = M<sub>X</sub>(t) := E[e<sup>tX</sup>].
- When X is discrete, can write M(t) = ∑<sub>x</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> p<sub>X</sub>(x). So M(t) is a weighted average of countably many exponential functions.
- When X is continuous, can write M(t) = ∫<sup>∞</sup><sub>-∞</sub> e<sup>tx</sup> f(x)dx. So M(t) is a weighted average of a continuum of exponential functions.
- We always have M(0) = 1.
- If b > 0 and t > 0 then  $E[e^{tX}] \ge E[e^{t\min\{X,b\}}] \ge P\{X \ge b\}e^{tb}.$
- If X takes both positive and negative values with positive probability then M(t) grows at least exponentially fast in |t| as |t| → ∞.

• Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- ▶ Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})] = E[Xe^{tX}].$

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E\left[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})\right] = E[Xe^{tX}].$
- in particular, M'(0) = E[X].

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- ▶ Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})] = E[Xe^{tX}].$
- in particular, M'(0) = E[X].
- Also  $M''(t) = \frac{d}{dt}M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[Xe^{tX}] = E[X^2e^{tX}].$

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- ▶ Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})] = E[Xe^{tX}].$
- in particular, M'(0) = E[X].
- Also  $M''(t) = \frac{d}{dt}M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[Xe^{tX}] = E[X^2e^{tX}].$
- So M"(0) = E[X<sup>2</sup>]. Same argument gives that nth derivative of M at zero is E[X<sup>n</sup>].

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- ► Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})] = E[Xe^{tX}].$
- in particular, M'(0) = E[X].
- Also  $M''(t) = \frac{d}{dt}M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[Xe^{tX}] = E[X^2e^{tX}].$
- So M"(0) = E[X<sup>2</sup>]. Same argument gives that nth derivative of M at zero is E[X<sup>n</sup>].
- Interesting: knowing all of the derivatives of M at a single point tells you the moments E[X<sup>k</sup>] for all integer k ≥ 0.

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- ▶ Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})] = E[Xe^{tX}].$
- in particular, M'(0) = E[X].
- Also  $M''(t) = \frac{d}{dt}M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[Xe^{tX}] = E[X^2e^{tX}].$
- So M"(0) = E[X<sup>2</sup>]. Same argument gives that nth derivative of M at zero is E[X<sup>n</sup>].
- Interesting: knowing all of the derivatives of M at a single point tells you the moments E[X<sup>k</sup>] for all integer k ≥ 0.
- Another way to think of this: write  $e^{tX} = 1 + tX + \frac{t^2X^2}{2!} + \frac{t^3X^3}{3!} + \dots$

- Let X be a random variable and  $M(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ .
- ► Then  $M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[e^{tX}] = E[\frac{d}{dt}(e^{tX})] = E[Xe^{tX}].$
- in particular, M'(0) = E[X].
- Also  $M''(t) = \frac{d}{dt}M'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}E[Xe^{tX}] = E[X^2e^{tX}].$
- So M"(0) = E[X<sup>2</sup>]. Same argument gives that nth derivative of M at zero is E[X<sup>n</sup>].
- Interesting: knowing all of the derivatives of M at a single point tells you the moments E[X<sup>k</sup>] for all integer k ≥ 0.
- Another way to think of this: write  $e^{tX} = 1 + tX + \frac{t^2X^2}{2!} + \frac{t^3X^3}{3!} + \dots$
- ▶ Taking expectations gives  $E[e^{tX}] = 1 + tm_1 + \frac{t^2m_2}{2!} + \frac{t^3m_3}{3!} + \dots$ , where  $m_k$  is the *k*th moment. The *k*th derivative at zero is  $m_k$ .

▶ Let X and Y be independent random variables and Z = X + Y.

- Let X and Y be independent random variables and Z = X + Y.
- Write the moment generating functions as M<sub>X</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tX</sup>] and M<sub>Y</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tY</sup>] and M<sub>Z</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tZ</sup>].

- Let X and Y be independent random variables and Z = X + Y.
- Write the moment generating functions as M<sub>X</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tX</sup>] and M<sub>Y</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tY</sup>] and M<sub>Z</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tZ</sup>].
- ▶ If you knew  $M_X$  and  $M_Y$ , could you compute  $M_Z$ ?

- Let X and Y be independent random variables and Z = X + Y.
- Write the moment generating functions as M<sub>X</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tX</sup>] and M<sub>Y</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tY</sup>] and M<sub>Z</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tZ</sup>].
- If you knew  $M_X$  and  $M_Y$ , could you compute  $M_Z$ ?
- ► By independence,  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{t(X+Y)}] = E[e^{tX}e^{tY}] = E[e^{tX}]E[e^{tY}] = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$  for all t.

- Let X and Y be independent random variables and Z = X + Y.
- Write the moment generating functions as M<sub>X</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tX</sup>] and M<sub>Y</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tY</sup>] and M<sub>Z</sub>(t) = E[e<sup>tZ</sup>].
- ▶ If you knew  $M_X$  and  $M_Y$ , could you compute  $M_Z$ ?
- ► By independence,  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{t(X+Y)}] = E[e^{tX}e^{tY}] = E[e^{tX}]E[e^{tY}] = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$  for all t.
- In other words, adding independent random variables corresponds to multiplying moment generating functions.

• We showed that if Z = X + Y and X and Y are independent, then  $M_Z(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$ 

- We showed that if Z = X + Y and X and Y are independent, then  $M_Z(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$
- If X<sub>1</sub>...X<sub>n</sub> are i.i.d. copies of X and Z = X<sub>1</sub> + ... + X<sub>n</sub> then what is M<sub>Z</sub>?

- We showed that if Z = X + Y and X and Y are independent, then  $M_Z(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$
- If X<sub>1</sub>...X<sub>n</sub> are i.i.d. copies of X and Z = X<sub>1</sub> + ... + X<sub>n</sub> then what is M<sub>Z</sub>?
- Answer:  $M_X^n$ . Follows by repeatedly applying formula above.

- We showed that if Z = X + Y and X and Y are independent, then  $M_Z(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$
- If X<sub>1</sub>...X<sub>n</sub> are i.i.d. copies of X and Z = X<sub>1</sub> + ... + X<sub>n</sub> then what is M<sub>Z</sub>?
- Answer:  $M_X^n$ . Follows by repeatedly applying formula above.
- This a big reason for studying moment generating functions. It helps us understand what happens when we sum up a lot of independent copies of the same random variable.

#### • If Z = aX then can I use $M_X$ to determine $M_Z$ ?

- If Z = aX then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?
- Answer: Yes.  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{tZ}] = E[e^{taX}] = M_X(at)$ .

- If Z = aX then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?
- Answer: Yes.  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{tZ}] = E[e^{taX}] = M_X(at)$ .
- If Z = X + b then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?

- If Z = aX then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?
- Answer: Yes.  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{tZ}] = E[e^{taX}] = M_X(at)$ .
- If Z = X + b then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?
- Answer: Yes.  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{tZ}] = E[e^{tX+bt}] = e^{bt}M_X(t)$ .

- If Z = aX then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?
- Answer: Yes.  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{tZ}] = E[e^{taX}] = M_X(at)$ .
- If Z = X + b then can I use  $M_X$  to determine  $M_Z$ ?
- Answer: Yes.  $M_Z(t) = E[e^{tZ}] = E[e^{tX+bt}] = e^{bt}M_X(t)$ .
- Latter answer is the special case of  $M_Z(t) = M_X(t)M_Y(t)$ where Y is the constant random variable b.

Seems that unless f<sub>X</sub>(x) decays superexponentially as x tends to infinity, we won't have M<sub>X</sub>(t) defined for all t.

- Seems that unless f<sub>X</sub>(x) decays superexponentially as x tends to infinity, we won't have M<sub>X</sub>(t) defined for all t.
- What is  $M_X$  if X is standard Cauchy, so that  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$ .

- Seems that unless f<sub>X</sub>(x) decays superexponentially as x tends to infinity, we won't have M<sub>X</sub>(t) defined for all t.
- What is  $M_X$  if X is standard Cauchy, so that  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$ .
- Answer: M<sub>X</sub>(0) = 1 (as is true for any X) but otherwise M<sub>X</sub>(t) is infinite for all t ≠ 0.

- Seems that unless f<sub>X</sub>(x) decays superexponentially as x tends to infinity, we won't have M<sub>X</sub>(t) defined for all t.
- What is  $M_X$  if X is standard Cauchy, so that  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$ .
- Answer: M<sub>X</sub>(0) = 1 (as is true for any X) but otherwise M<sub>X</sub>(t) is infinite for all t ≠ 0.
- Informal statement: moment generating functions are not defined for distributions with fat tails.

Weak law of large numbers: Markov/Chebyshev approach

Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

#### Weak law of large numbers: Markov/Chebyshev approach

Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable. Fix a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.

- ► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable. Fix a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.
- **Proof:** Consider a random variable *Y* defined by

$$Y = \begin{cases} a & X \ge a \\ 0 & X < a \end{cases}$$
. Since  $X \ge Y$  with probability one, it follows that  $E[X] \ge E[Y] = aP\{X \ge a\}$ . Divide both sides by  $a$  to get Markov's inequality.

- Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable. Fix a > 0. Then  $P\{X \ge a\} \le \frac{E[X]}{2}$ .
- Proof: Consider a random variable Y defined by  $Y = \begin{cases} a & X \ge a \\ 0 & X < a \end{cases}$  Since  $X \ge Y$  with probability one, it follows that  $E[X] \ge E[Y] = aP\{X \ge a\}$ . Divide both sides by

a to get Markov's inequality.

• Chebyshev's inequality: If X has finite mean  $\mu$ , variance  $\sigma^2$ , and k > 0 then

$$P\{|X-\mu| \ge k\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

- ► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable. Fix a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.
- **Proof:** Consider a random variable Y defined by  $\begin{cases} a & X > a \end{cases}$

$$Y = \begin{cases} a & x \geq a \\ 0 & X < a \end{cases}$$
 Since  $X \geq Y$  with probability one, it follows that  $E[X] \geq E[Y] = aP\{X \geq a\}$ . Divide both sides by a to get Markov's inequality.

Chebyshev's inequality: If X has finite mean μ, variance σ<sup>2</sup>, and k > 0 then

$$P\{|X-\mu| \ge k\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

Proof: Note that (X − μ)<sup>2</sup> is a non-negative random variable and P{|X − μ| ≥ k} = P{(X − μ)<sup>2</sup> ≥ k<sup>2</sup>}. Now apply Markov's inequality with a = k<sup>2</sup>.

• Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable with finite mean. Fix a constant a > 0. Then  $P\{X \ge a\} \le \frac{E[X]}{a}$ .

- ► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable with finite mean. Fix a constant a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.
- Chebyshev's inequality: If X has finite mean μ, variance σ<sup>2</sup>, and k > 0 then

$$P\{|X-\mu| \ge k\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

- ► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable with finite mean. Fix a constant a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.
- Chebyshev's inequality: If X has finite mean μ, variance σ<sup>2</sup>, and k > 0 then

$$P\{|X-\mu| \ge k\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

 Inequalities allow us to deduce limited information about a distribution when we know only the mean (Markov) or the mean and variance (Chebyshev).

- ► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable with finite mean. Fix a constant a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.
- Chebyshev's inequality: If X has finite mean μ, variance σ<sup>2</sup>, and k > 0 then

$$P\{|X-\mu| \ge k\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

- Inequalities allow us to deduce limited information about a distribution when we know only the mean (Markov) or the mean and variance (Chebyshev).
- ► Markov: if E[X] is small, then it is not too likely that X is large.

- ► Markov's inequality: Let X be non-negative random variable with finite mean. Fix a constant a > 0. Then P{X ≥ a} ≤ E[X]/a.
- Chebyshev's inequality: If X has finite mean μ, variance σ<sup>2</sup>, and k > 0 then

$$P\{|X-\mu| \ge k\} \le \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

- Inequalities allow us to deduce limited information about a distribution when we know only the mean (Markov) or the mean and variance (Chebyshev).
- ► Markov: if E[X] is small, then it is not too likely that X is large.
- Chebyshev: if σ<sup>2</sup> = Var[X] is small, then it is not too likely that X is far from its mean.

Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n is called the *empirical average* of the first n trials.

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n is called the *empirical average* of the first *n* trials.
- We'd guess that when *n* is large,  $A_n$  is typically close to  $\mu$ .

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub> is called the *empirical* average of the first n trials.
- We'd guess that when *n* is large,  $A_n$  is typically close to  $\mu$ .
- ▶ Indeed, weak law of large numbers states that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{|A_n \mu| > \epsilon\} = 0$ .

- Suppose  $X_i$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$ .
- ► Then the value A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub> is called the *empirical* average of the first n trials.
- We'd guess that when *n* is large,  $A_n$  is typically close to  $\mu$ .
- Indeed, weak law of large numbers states that for all ε > 0 we have lim<sub>n→∞</sub> P{|A<sub>n</sub> − μ| > ε} = 0.
- Example: as n tends to infinity, the probability of seeing more than .50001n heads in n fair coin tosses tends to zero.

• As above, let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and write  $A_n := \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_n}{n}$ .

- ► As above, let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and write  $A_n := \frac{X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n}{n}$ .
- By additivity of expectation,  $\mathbb{E}[A_n] = \mu$ .

- ► As above, let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and write  $A_n := \frac{X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n}{n}$ .
- By additivity of expectation,  $\mathbb{E}[A_n] = \mu$ .

• Similarly, 
$$\operatorname{Var}[A_n] = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \sigma^2/n$$
.

- ► As above, let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and write  $A_n := \frac{X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n}{n}$ .
- By additivity of expectation,  $\mathbb{E}[A_n] = \mu$ .
- Similarly,  $\operatorname{Var}[A_n] = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \sigma^2/n$ .
- ▶ By Chebyshev  $P\{|A_n \mu| \ge \epsilon\} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[A_n]}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$ .

- ► As above, let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and write  $A_n := \frac{X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n}{n}$ .
- By additivity of expectation,  $\mathbb{E}[A_n] = \mu$ .
- Similarly,  $\operatorname{Var}[A_n] = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \sigma^2/n$ .
- ▶ By Chebyshev  $P\{|A_n \mu| \ge \epsilon\} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[A_n]}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$ .
- ▶ No matter how small *ϵ* is, RHS will tend to zero as *n* gets large.

#### Say $X_i$ and $X_j$ are uncorrelated if $E(X_iX_j) = EX_iEX_j$ .

- Say  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are uncorrelated if  $E(X_iX_j) = EX_iEX_j$ .
- Chebyshev/Markov argument works whenever variables are uncorrelated (does not actually require independence).

What else can you do with just variance bounds?

Having "almost uncorrelated" X<sub>i</sub> is sometimes enough: just need variance of A<sub>n</sub> to go to zero.

- Having "almost uncorrelated" X<sub>i</sub> is sometimes enough: just need variance of A<sub>n</sub> to go to zero.
- Toss  $\alpha n$  bins into *n* balls. How many bins are filled?

- Having "almost uncorrelated" X<sub>i</sub> is sometimes enough: just need variance of A<sub>n</sub> to go to zero.
- Toss  $\alpha n$  bins into *n* balls. How many bins are filled?
- When n is large, the number of balls in the first bin is approximately a Poisson random variable with expectation α.

- Having "almost uncorrelated" X<sub>i</sub> is sometimes enough: just need variance of A<sub>n</sub> to go to zero.
- Toss  $\alpha n$  bins into *n* balls. How many bins are filled?
- When n is large, the number of balls in the first bin is approximately a Poisson random variable with expectation α.
- Probability first bin contains no ball is  $(1 1/n)^{\alpha n} \approx e^{-\alpha}$ .

- Having "almost uncorrelated" X<sub>i</sub> is sometimes enough: just need variance of A<sub>n</sub> to go to zero.
- Toss  $\alpha n$  bins into *n* balls. How many bins are filled?
- When n is large, the number of balls in the first bin is approximately a Poisson random variable with expectation α.
- Probability first bin contains no ball is  $(1 1/n)^{\alpha n} \approx e^{-\alpha}$ .
- We can explicitly compute variance of the number of bins with no balls. Allows us to show that fraction of bins with no balls concentrates about its expectation, which is e<sup>-α</sup>.

Assume X<sub>n</sub> are i.i.d. non-negative instances of random variable X with finite mean. Can one prove law of large numbers for these?

- Assume X<sub>n</sub> are i.i.d. non-negative instances of random variable X with finite mean. Can one prove law of large numbers for these?
- ► Try truncating. Fix large N and write A = X1<sub>X>N</sub> and B = X1<sub>X≤N</sub> so that X = A + B. Choose N so that EB is very small. Law of large numbers holds for A.

Weak law of large numbers: Markov/Chebyshev approach

Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Weak law of large numbers: Markov/Chebyshev approach

Weak law of large numbers: characteristic function approach

Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.
- In particular, the A<sub>n</sub> are not tightly concentrated around any particular value even when n is very large.

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.
- In particular, the A<sub>n</sub> are not tightly concentrated around any particular value even when n is very large.
- ▶ But weak law holds as long as E[|X|] is finite, so that µ is well defined.

- Question: does the weak law of large numbers apply no matter what the probability distribution for X is?
- ► Is it always the case that if we define A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n then A<sub>n</sub> is typically close to some fixed value when n is large?
- What if X is Cauchy?
- ► In this strange and delightful case A<sub>n</sub> actually has the same probability distribution as X.
- In particular, the A<sub>n</sub> are not tightly concentrated around any particular value even when n is very large.
- ▶ But weak law holds as long as E[|X|] is finite, so that µ is well defined.
- One standard proof uses characteristic functions.

• Let X be a random variable.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by φ(t) = φ<sub>X</sub>(t) := E[e<sup>itX</sup>]. Like M(t) except with i thrown in.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .
- And if X has an *m*th moment then  $E[X^m] = i^m \phi_X^{(m)}(0)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- The characteristic function of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}]$ . Like M(t) except with *i* thrown in.
- Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- Characteristic functions are similar to moment generating functions in some ways.
- For example, φ<sub>X+Y</sub> = φ<sub>X</sub>φ<sub>Y</sub>, just as M<sub>X+Y</sub> = M<sub>X</sub>M<sub>Y</sub>, if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .
- And if X has an *m*th moment then  $E[X^m] = i^m \phi_X^{(m)}(0)$ .
- But characteristic functions have an advantage: they are well defined at all t for all random variables X.

• Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.
- The weak law of large numbers can be rephrased as the statement that A<sub>n</sub> converges in law to μ (i.e., to the random variable that is equal to μ with probability one).

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.
- The weak law of large numbers can be rephrased as the statement that A<sub>n</sub> converges in law to μ (i.e., to the random variable that is equal to μ with probability one).
- Lévy's continuity theorem (coming later): if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi_{X_n}(t)=\phi_X(t)$ 

for all t, then  $X_n$  converge in law to X.

- Let X be random variable,  $X_n$  a sequence of random variables.
- Say X<sub>n</sub> converge in distribution or converge in law to X if lim<sub>n→∞</sub> F<sub>Xn</sub>(x) = F<sub>X</sub>(x) at all x ∈ ℝ at which F<sub>X</sub> is continuous.
- The weak law of large numbers can be rephrased as the statement that A<sub>n</sub> converges in law to μ (i.e., to the random variable that is equal to μ with probability one).
- Lévy's continuity theorem (coming later): if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi_{X_n}(t)=\phi_X(t)$$

for all t, then  $X_n$  converge in law to X.

▶ By this theorem, we can prove weak law of large numbers by showing  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_{\mu}(t) = e^{it\mu}$  for all t. When  $\mu = 0$ , amounts to showing  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_{A_n}(t) = 1$  for all t.

# ► Moment generating analog: if moment generating functions M<sub>Xn</sub>(t) are defined for all t and n and, for all t, lim<sub>n→∞</sub> M<sub>Xn</sub>(t) = M<sub>X</sub>(t), then X<sub>n</sub> converge in law to X. 18.175 Letture 8

As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.

As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.

• Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − µ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .
- ▶ Now  $\phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_X(t/n)^n = e^{ng(t/n)}$ . Since g(0) = g'(0) = 0we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ng(t/n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \frac{g(\frac{t}{n})}{\frac{t}{n}} = 0$  if t is fixed. Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{ng(t/n)} = 1$  for all t.

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .
- ▶ Now  $\phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_X(t/n)^n = e^{ng(t/n)}$ . Since g(0) = g'(0) = 0we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ng(t/n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \frac{g(\frac{t}{n})}{\frac{t}{n}} = 0$  if t is fixed. Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{ng(t/n)} = 1$  for all t.

- As above, let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. instances of random variable X with mean zero. Write A<sub>n</sub> := X<sub>1</sub>+X<sub>2</sub>+...+X<sub>n</sub>/n. Weak law of large numbers holds for i.i.d. instances of X if and only if it holds for i.i.d. instances of X − μ. Thus it suffices to prove the weak law in the mean zero case.
- Consider the characteristic function  $\phi_X(t) = E[e^{itX}]$ .
- Since E[X] = 0, we have  $\phi'_X(0) = E[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{itX}]_{t=0} = iE[X] = 0$ .
- ▶ Write  $g(t) = \log \phi_X(t)$  so  $\phi_X(t) = e^{g(t)}$ . Then g(0) = 0 and (by chain rule)  $g'(0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon) - g(0)}{\epsilon} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = 0$ .
- ▶ Now  $\phi_{A_n}(t) = \phi_X(t/n)^n = e^{ng(t/n)}$ . Since g(0) = g'(0) = 0we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ng(t/n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} t \frac{g(\frac{t}{n})}{\frac{t}{n}} = 0$  if t is fixed. Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{ng(t/n)} = 1$  for all t.
- By Lévy's continuity theorem, the A<sub>n</sub> converge in law to 0 (i.e., to the random variable that is 0 with probability one).

18.175 Lecture 8