# 18.175: Lecture 25 Reflections and martingales

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Say we're given a probability space (Ω, F<sub>0</sub>, P) and a σ-field F ⊂ F<sub>0</sub> and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. F<sub>0</sub>, with E|X| < ∞. The conditional expectation of X given F is a new random variable, which we can denote by Y = E(X|F).

- Say we're given a probability space (Ω, F<sub>0</sub>, P) and a σ-field F ⊂ F<sub>0</sub> and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. F<sub>0</sub>, with E|X| < ∞. The conditional expectation of X given F is a new random variable, which we can denote by Y = E(X|F).
- We require that Y is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable and that for all A in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\int_A XdP = \int_A YdP$ .

- Say we're given a probability space (Ω, F<sub>0</sub>, P) and a σ-field F ⊂ F<sub>0</sub> and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. F<sub>0</sub>, with E|X| < ∞. The conditional expectation of X given F is a new random variable, which we can denote by Y = E(X|F).
- We require that Y is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable and that for all A in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\int_A XdP = \int_A YdP$ .
- ► Any Y satisfying these properties is called a version of E(X|F).

- Say we're given a probability space (Ω, F<sub>0</sub>, P) and a σ-field F ⊂ F<sub>0</sub> and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. F<sub>0</sub>, with E|X| < ∞. The conditional expectation of X given F is a new random variable, which we can denote by Y = E(X|F).
- We require that Y is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable and that for all A in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\int_A XdP = \int_A YdP$ .
- ► Any Y satisfying these properties is called a version of E(X|F).
- ► Is it possible that there exists more than one version of E(X|F) (which would mean that in some sense the conditional expectation is not canonically defined)?

- Say we're given a probability space (Ω, F<sub>0</sub>, P) and a σ-field F ⊂ F<sub>0</sub> and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. F<sub>0</sub>, with E|X| < ∞. The conditional expectation of X given F is a new random variable, which we can denote by Y = E(X|F).
- We require that Y is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable and that for all A in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\int_A XdP = \int_A YdP$ .
- ► Any Y satisfying these properties is called a version of E(X|F).
- ► Is it possible that there exists more than one version of E(X|F) (which would mean that in some sense the conditional expectation is not canonically defined)?
- ► Is there some sense in which E(X|F) always exists and is always uniquely defined (maybe up to set of measure zero)?

► Claim: Assuming Y = E(X|F) as above, and E|X| < ∞, we have E|Y| ≤ E|X|. In particular, Y is integrable.</p>

- ► Claim: Assuming Y = E(X|F) as above, and E|X| < ∞, we have E|Y| ≤ E|X|. In particular, Y is integrable.</p>
- ▶ **Proof:** let  $A = \{Y > 0\} \in \mathcal{F}$  and observe:  $\int_A Y dP \int_A X dP \le \int_A |X| dP$ . By similarly argument,  $\int_{A^c} -Y dP \le \int_{A^c} |X| dP$ .

- ► Claim: Assuming Y = E(X|F) as above, and E|X| < ∞, we have E|Y| ≤ E|X|. In particular, Y is integrable.</p>
- ▶ **Proof:** let  $A = \{Y > 0\} \in \mathcal{F}$  and observe:  $\int_A YdP \int_A XdP \le \int_A |X|dP$ . By similarly argument,  $\int_{A^c} -YdP \le \int_{A^c} |X|dP$ .
- ▶ Uniqueness of *Y*: Suppose *Y'* is *F*-measurable and satisfies  $\int_A Y' dP = \int_A X dP = \int_A Y dP$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then consider the set  $Y Y' \ge \epsilon$ }. Integrating over that gives zero. Must hold for any  $\epsilon$ . Conclude that Y = Y' almost everywhere.

Let µ and ν be σ-finite measures on (Ω, F). Say ν << µ (or ν is absolutely continuous w.r.t. µ if µ(A) = 0 implies ν(A) = 0.</p>

- Let µ and ν be σ-finite measures on (Ω, F). Say ν << µ (or ν is absolutely continuous w.r.t. µ if µ(A) = 0 implies ν(A) = 0.</p>
- Recall Radon-Nikodym theorem: If μ and ν are σ-finite measures on (Ω, F) and ν is absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ, then there exists a measurable f : Ω → [0,∞) such that ν(A) = ∫<sub>A</sub> fdμ.

- Let µ and ν be σ-finite measures on (Ω, F). Say ν << µ (or ν is absolutely continuous w.r.t. µ if µ(A) = 0 implies ν(A) = 0.</p>
- Recall Radon-Nikodym theorem: If μ and ν are σ-finite measures on (Ω, F) and ν is absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ, then there exists a measurable f : Ω → [0,∞) such that ν(A) = ∫<sub>A</sub> fdμ.
- Observe: this theorem implies existence of conditional expectation.

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

#### Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

 Optional stopping theorem: Can't make money in expectation by timing sale of asset whose price is non-negative martingale.

- Optional stopping theorem: Can't make money in expectation by timing sale of asset whose price is non-negative martingale.
- Martingale convergence: A non-negative martingale almost surely has a limit.

► Wald's equation: Let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. with E|X<sub>i</sub>| < ∞. If N is a stopping time with EN < ∞ then ES<sub>N</sub> = EX<sub>1</sub>EN.

- ► Wald's equation: Let X<sub>i</sub> be i.i.d. with E|X<sub>i</sub>| < ∞. If N is a stopping time with EN < ∞ then ES<sub>N</sub> = EX<sub>1</sub>EN.
- ▶ Wald's second equation: Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. with  $E|X_i| = 0$  and  $EX_i^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . If N is a stopping time with  $EN < \infty$  then  $ES_N = \sigma^2 EN$ .

 S<sub>0</sub> = a ∈ Z and at each time step S<sub>j</sub> independently changes by ±1 according to a fair coin toss. Fix A ∈ Z and let N = inf{k : S<sub>k</sub> ∈ {0, A}. What is ES<sub>N</sub>?

- S<sub>0</sub> = a ∈ Z and at each time step S<sub>j</sub> independently changes by ±1 according to a fair coin toss. Fix A ∈ Z and let N = inf{k : S<sub>k</sub> ∈ {0, A}. What is ES<sub>N</sub>?
- ► What is EN?

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

How many walks from (0, x) to (n, y) that don't cross the horizontal axis?

- ► How many walks from (0, x) to (n, y) that don't cross the horizontal axis?
- ► Try counting walks that *do* cross by giving bijection to walks from (0, -x) to (n, y).

Suppose that in election candidate A gets α votes and B gets β < α votes. What's probability that A is ahead throughout the counting?

- Suppose that in election candidate A gets α votes and B gets β < α votes. What's probability that A is ahead throughout the counting?
- Answer: (α − β)/(α + β). Can be proved using reflection principle.

#### ► Theorem for last hitting time.

- Theorem for last hitting time.
- Theorem for amount of positive positive time.