

# 18.175: Lecture 10

## Zero-one laws and maximal inequalities

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Recollections

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

## Recollections

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

## Recall Borel-Cantelli lemmas

- ▶ **First Borel-Cantelli lemma:** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$  then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .

## Recall Borel-Cantelli lemmas

- ▶ **First Borel-Cantelli lemma:** If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty$  then  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ .
- ▶ **Second Borel-Cantelli lemma:** If  $A_n$  are independent, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty$  implies  $P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .

## Recall strong law of large numbers

- ▶ **Theorem (strong law):** If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. real-valued random variables with expectation  $m$  and  $A_n := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  are the *empirical means* then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = m$  almost surely.

Recollections

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem

Recollections

Kolmogorov zero-one law and three-series theorem



# Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables  $X_n$  on some probability space. Write  $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$ .

# Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables  $X_n$  on some probability space. Write  $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the **tail  $\sigma$ -algebra**. It contains the information you can observe by looking only at stuff arbitrarily far into the future. Intuitively, membership in tail event doesn't change when finitely many  $X_n$  are changed.

# Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables  $X_n$  on some probability space. Write  $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the **tail  $\sigma$ -algebra**. It contains the information you can observe by looking only at stuff arbitrarily far into the future. Intuitively, membership in tail event doesn't change when finitely many  $X_n$  are changed.
- ▶ Event that  $X_n$  converge to a limit is example of a tail event. Other examples?

# Kolmogorov zero-one law

- ▶ Consider sequence of random variables  $X_n$  on some probability space. Write  $\mathcal{F}'_n = \sigma(X_n, X_{n_1}, \dots)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{F}'_n$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the **tail  $\sigma$ -algebra**. It contains the information you can observe by looking only at stuff arbitrarily far into the future. Intuitively, membership in tail event doesn't change when finitely many  $X_n$  are changed.
- ▶ Event that  $X_n$  converge to a limit is example of a tail event. Other examples?
- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

# Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

# Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Statement is equivalent to saying that  $A$  is independent of itself, i.e.,  $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)^2$ . How do we prove that?

# Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Statement is equivalent to saying that  $A$  is independent of itself, i.e.,  $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)^2$ . How do we prove that?
- ▶ Recall theorem that if  $\mathcal{A}_i$  are independent  $\pi$ -systems, then  $\sigma\mathcal{A}_i$  are independent.

# Kolmogorov zero-one law proof idea

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent and  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Statement is equivalent to saying that  $A$  is independent of itself, i.e.,  $P(A) = P(A \cap A) = P(A)^2$ . How do we prove that?
- ▶ Recall theorem that if  $\mathcal{A}_i$  are independent  $\pi$ -systems, then  $\sigma\mathcal{A}_i$  are independent.
- ▶ Deduce that  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  and  $\sigma(X_{n+1}, X_{n+1}, \dots)$  are independent. Then deduce that  $\sigma(X_1, X_2, \dots)$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are independent, using fact that  $\cup_k \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are  $\pi$ -systems.



- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose  $X_i$  are independent with mean zero and finite variances, and  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n$ . Then

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq x\right) \leq x^{-2} \text{Var}(S_n) = x^{-2} E|S_n|^2.$$

# Kolmogorov maximal inequality

- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose  $X_i$  are independent with mean zero and finite variances, and  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_n$ . Then

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |S_k| \geq x\right) \leq x^{-2} \text{Var}(S_n) = x^{-2} E|S_n|^2.$$

- ▶ **Main idea of proof:** Consider first time maximum is exceeded. Bound below the expected square sum on that event.