

## CHAPTER 2

### Hilbert spaces and operators

#### 1. Hilbert space

We have shown that  $L^p(X, \mu)$  is a Banach space – a complete normed space. I shall next discuss the class of Hilbert spaces, a special class of Banach spaces, of which  $L^2(X, \mu)$  is a standard example, in which the norm arises from an inner product, just as it does in Euclidean space.

An inner product on a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  (one can do the real case too, not much changes) is a *sesquilinear* form

$$V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

written  $(u, v)$ , if  $u, v \in V$ . The ‘sesqui-’ part is just linearity in the first variable

$$(1.1) \quad (a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2, v) = a_1 (u_1, v) + a_2 (u_2, v),$$

anti-linearly in the second

$$(1.2) \quad (u, a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2) = \bar{a}_1 (u, v_1) + \bar{a}_2 (u, v_2)$$

and the conjugacy condition

$$(1.3) \quad (u, v) = \overline{(v, u)}.$$

Notice that (1.2) follows from (1.1) and (1.3). If we assume in addition the positivity condition<sup>1</sup>

$$(1.4) \quad (u, u) \geq 0, \quad (u, u) = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0,$$

then

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\| = (u, u)^{1/2}$$

is a *norm* on  $V$ , as we shall see.

Suppose that  $u, v \in V$  have  $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$ . Then  $(u, v) = e^{i\theta} |(u, v)|$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . By choice of  $\theta$ ,  $e^{-i\theta} (u, v) = |(u, v)|$  is

---

<sup>1</sup>Notice that  $(u, u)$  is real by (1.3).

real, so expanding out using linearity for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (e^{-i\theta}u - sv, e^{-i\theta}u - sv) \\ &= \|u\|^2 - 2s \operatorname{Re} e^{-i\theta}(u, v) + s^2\|v\|^2 = 1 - 2s|(u, v)| + s^2. \end{aligned}$$

The minimum of this occurs when  $s = |(u, v)|$  and this is negative unless  $|(u, v)| \leq 1$ . Using linearity, and checking the trivial cases  $u =$  or  $v = 0$  shows that

$$(1.6) \quad |(u, v)| \leq \|u\| \|v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in V.$$

This is called Schwarz<sup>2</sup> inequality.

Using Schwarz' inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= \|u\|^2 + (u, v) + (v, u) + \|v\|^2 \\ &\leq (\|u\| + \|v\|)^2 \\ \implies \|u + v\| &\leq \|u\| + \|v\| \quad \forall u, v \in V \end{aligned}$$

which is the triangle inequality.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** *A Hilbert space is a vector space  $V$  with an inner product satisfying (1.1) - (1.4) which is complete as a normed space (i.e., is a Banach space).*

Thus we have already shown  $L^2(X, \mu)$  to be a Hilbert space for any positive measure  $\mu$ . The inner product is

$$(1.7) \quad (f, g) = \int_X f \bar{g} d\mu,$$

since then (1.3) gives  $\|f\|_2$ .

Another important identity valid in any inner product spaces is the parallelogram law:

$$(1.8) \quad \|u + v\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2 = 2\|u\|^2 + 2\|v\|^2.$$

This can be used to prove the basic 'existence theorem' in Hilbert space theory.

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $C \subset H$ , in a Hilbert space, be closed and convex (i.e.,  $su + (1 - s)v \in C$  if  $u, v \in C$  and  $0 < s < 1$ ). Then  $C$  contains a unique element of smallest norm.*

**PROOF.** We can certainly choose a sequence  $u_n \in C$  such that

$$\|u_n\| \rightarrow \delta = \inf \{ \|v\| ; v \in C \}.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>No 't' in this Schwarz.

By the parallelogram law,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_n - u_m\|^2 &= 2\|u_n\|^2 + 2\|u_m\|^2 - \|u_n + u_m\|^2 \\ &\leq 2(\|u_n\|^2 + \|u_m\|^2) - 4\delta^2\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $(u_n + u_m)/2 \in C$  so must have norm at least  $\delta$ . Thus  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, hence convergent by the assumed completeness of  $H$ . Thus  $\lim u_n = u \in C$  (since it is assumed closed) and by the triangle inequality

$$\| \|u_n\| - \|u\| \| \leq \|u_n - u\| \rightarrow 0$$

So  $\|u\| = \delta$ . Uniqueness of  $u$  follows again from the parallelogram law which shows that if  $\|u'\| = \delta$  then

$$\|u - u'\| \leq 2\delta^2 - 4\|(u + u')/2\|^2 \leq 0.$$

□

The fundamental fact about a Hilbert space is that each element  $v \in H$  defines a continuous linear functional by

$$H \ni u \mapsto (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}$$

and conversely *every* continuous linear functional arises this way. This is also called the Riesz representation theorem.

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** *If  $L : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous linear functional on a Hilbert space then this is a unique element  $v \in H$  such that*

$$(1.9) \quad Lu = (u, v) \quad \forall u \in H,$$

**PROOF.** Consider the linear space

$$M = \{u \in H ; Lu = 0\}$$

the null space of  $L$ , a continuous linear functional on  $H$ . By the assumed continuity,  $M$  is closed. We can suppose that  $L$  is *not* identically zero (since then  $v = 0$  in (1.9)). Thus there exists  $w \notin M$ . Consider

$$w + M = \{v \in H ; v = w + u, u \in M\}.$$

This is a closed convex subset of  $H$ . Applying Lemma 1.2 it has a unique smallest element,  $v \in w + M$ . Since  $v$  minimizes the norm on  $w + M$ ,

$$\|v + su\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(su, v) + \|s\|^2\|u\|^2$$

is stationary at  $s = 0$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Re}(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall u \in M$ , and the same argument with  $s$  replaced by  $is$  shows that  $(v, u) = 0 \quad \forall u \in M$ .

Now  $v \in w + M$ , so  $Lv = Lw \neq 0$ . Consider the element  $w' = w/Lw \in H$ . Since  $Lw' = 1$ , for any  $u \in H$

$$L(u - (Lu)w') = Lu - Lu = 0.$$

It follows that  $u - (Lu)w' \in M$  so if  $w'' = w'/\|w'\|^2$

$$(u, w'') = ((Lu)w', w'') = Lu \frac{(w', w')}{\|w'\|^2} = Lu.$$

The uniqueness of  $v$  follows from the positivity of the norm.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *For any positive measure  $\mu$ , any continuous linear functional*

$$L : L^2(X, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

*is of the form*

$$Lf = \int_X f \bar{g} d\mu, \quad g \in L^2(X, \mu).$$

Notice the apparent power of ‘abstract reasoning’ here! Although we seem to have constructed  $g$  out of nowhere, its existence follows from the *completeness* of  $L^2(X, \mu)$ , but it is very convenient to express the argument abstractly for a general Hilbert space.

## 2. Spectral theorem

For a bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space we define the spectrum as the set

$$(2.1) \quad \text{spec}(T) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; T - z \text{Id is not invertible}\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *For any bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space  $\text{spec}(T) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a compact subset of  $\{|z| \leq \|T\|\}$ .*

**PROOF.** We show that the set  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{spec}(T)$  (generally called the resolvent set of  $T$ ) is open and contains the complement of a sufficiently large ball. This is based on the convergence of the Neumann series. Namely if  $T$  is bounded and  $\|T\| < 1$  then

$$(2.2) \quad (\text{Id} - T)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} T^j$$

converges to a bounded operator which is a two-sided inverse of  $\text{Id} - T$ . Indeed,  $\|T^j\| \leq \|T\|^j$  so the series is convergent and composing with  $\text{Id} - T$  on either side gives a telescoping series reducing to the identity.

Applying this result, we first see that

$$(2.3) \quad (T - z) = -z(\text{Id} - T/z)$$

is invertible if  $|z| > \|T\|$ . Similarly, if  $(T - z_0)^{-1}$  exists for some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  then

$$(2.4) \quad (T - z) = (T - z_0) - (z - z_0) = (T - z_0)^{-1}(\text{Id} - (z - z_0)(T - z_0)^{-1})$$

exists for  $|z - z_0| \|(T - z_0)^{-1}\| < 1$ .  $\square$

In general it is rather difficult to precisely locate  $\text{spec}(T)$ .

However for a bounded self-adjoint operator it is easier. One sign of this is the the norm of the operator has an alternative, simple, characterization. Namely

$$(2.5) \quad \text{if } A^* = A \text{ then } \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle A\phi, \phi \rangle = \|A\|.$$

If  $a$  is this supremum, then clearly  $a \leq \|A\|$ . To see the converse, choose any  $\phi, \psi \in H$  with norm 1 and then replace  $\psi$  by  $e^{i\theta}\psi$  with  $\theta$  chosen so that  $\langle A\phi, \psi \rangle$  is real. Then use the polarization identity to write

$$(2.6) \quad 4\langle A\phi, \psi \rangle = \langle A(\phi + \psi), (\phi + \psi) \rangle - \langle A(\phi - \psi), (\phi - \psi) \rangle \\ + i\langle A(\phi + i\psi), (\phi + i\psi) \rangle - i\langle A(\phi - i\psi), (\phi - i\psi) \rangle.$$

Now, by the assumed reality we may drop the last two terms and see that

$$(2.7) \quad 4|\langle A\phi, \psi \rangle| \leq a(\|\phi + \psi\|^2 + \|\phi - \psi\|^2) = 2a(\|\phi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2) = 4a.$$

Thus indeed  $\|A\| = \sup_{\|\phi\|=\|\psi\|=1} |\langle A\phi, \psi \rangle| = a$ .

We can always subtract a real constant from  $A$  so that  $A' = A - t$  satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad - \inf_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle A'\phi, \phi \rangle = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle A'\phi, \phi \rangle = \|A'\|.$$

Then, it follows that  $A' \pm \|A'\|$  is not invertible. Indeed, there exists a sequence  $\phi_n$ , with  $\|\phi_n\| = 1$  such that  $\langle (A' - \|A'\|)\phi_n, \phi_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . Thus

$$(2.9) \quad \|(A' - \|A'\|)\phi_n\|^2 = -2\langle A'\phi_n, \phi_n \rangle + \|A'\phi_n\|^2 + \|A'\|^2 \leq -2\langle A'\phi_n, \phi_n \rangle + 2\|A'\|^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that  $A' - \|A'\|$  cannot be invertible and the same argument works for  $A' + \|A'\|$ . For the original operator  $A$  if we set

$$(2.10) \quad m = \inf_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle A\phi, \phi \rangle \quad M = \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \langle A\phi, \phi \rangle$$

then we conclude that neither  $A - m \text{Id}$  nor  $A - M \text{Id}$  is invertible and  $\|A\| = \max(-m, M)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *If  $A$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator then, with  $m$  and  $M$  defined by (2.10),*

$$(2.11) \quad \{m\} \cup \{M\} \subset \text{spec}(A) \subset [m, M].$$

**PROOF.** We have already shown the first part, that  $m$  and  $M$  are in the spectrum so it remains to show that  $A - z$  is invertible for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [m, M]$ .

Using the self-adjointness

$$(2.12) \quad \text{Im}\langle (A - z)\phi, \phi \rangle = -\text{Im } z \|\phi\|^2.$$

This implies that  $A - z$  is invertible if  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . First it shows that  $(A - z)\phi = 0$  implies  $\phi = 0$ , so  $A - z$  is injective. Secondly, the range is closed. Indeed, if  $(A - z)\phi_n \rightarrow \psi$  then applying (2.12) directly shows that  $\|\phi_n\|$  is bounded and so can be replaced by a weakly convergent subsequence. Applying (2.12) again to  $\phi_n - \phi_m$  shows that the sequence is actually Cauchy, hence converges to  $\phi$  so  $(A - z)\phi = \psi$  is in the range. Finally, the orthocomplement to this range is the null space of  $A^* - \bar{z}$ , which is also trivial, so  $A - z$  is an isomorphism and (2.12) also shows that the inverse is bounded, in fact

$$(2.13) \quad \|(A - z)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|}.$$

When  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  we can replace  $A$  by  $A'$  satisfying (2.8). Then we have to show that  $A' - z$  is invertible for  $|z| > \|A\|$ , but that is shown in the proof of Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

The basic estimate leading to the spectral theorem is:

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If  $A$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator and  $p$  is a real polynomial in one variable,*

$$(2.14) \quad p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i t^i, \quad c_N \neq 0,$$

then  $p(A) = \sum_{i=0}^N c_i A^i$  satisfies

$$(2.15) \quad \|p(A)\| \leq \sup_{t \in [m, M]} |p(t)|.$$

PROOF. Clearly,  $p(A)$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator. If  $s \notin p([m, M])$  then  $p(A) - s$  is invertible. Indeed, the roots of  $p(t) - s$  must not lie in  $[m, M]$ , since otherwise  $s \in p([m, M])$ . Thus, factorizing  $p(s) - t$  we have

$$(2.16) \quad p(t) - s = c_N \prod_{i=1}^N (t - t_i(s)), \quad t_i(s) \notin [m, M] \implies (p(A) - s)^{-1} \text{ exists}$$

since  $p(A) = c_N \sum_i (A - t_i(s))$  and each of the factors is invertible.

Thus  $\operatorname{spec}(p(A)) \subset p([m, M])$ , which is an interval (or a point), and from Proposition 2.3 we conclude that  $\|p(A)\| \leq \sup p([m, M])$  which is (2.15).  $\square$

Now, reinterpreting (2.15) we have a linear map

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \ni p \longmapsto p(A) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$$

from the real polynomials to the bounded self-adjoint operators which is continuous with respect to the supremum norm on  $[m, M]$ . Since polynomials are dense in continuous functions on finite intervals, we see that (2.17) extends by continuity to a linear map

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{C}([m, M]) \ni f \longmapsto f(A) \in \mathcal{B}(H), \quad \|f(A)\| \leq \|f\|_{[m, M]}, \quad fg(A) = f(A)g(A)$$

where the multiplicativity follows by continuity together with the fact that it is true for polynomials.

Now, consider any two elements  $\phi, \psi \in H$ . Evaluating  $f(A)$  on  $\phi$  and pairing with  $\psi$  gives a linear map

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{C}([m, M]) \ni f \longmapsto \langle f(A)\phi, \psi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This is a linear functional on  $\mathcal{C}([m, M])$  to which we can apply the Riesz representatin theorem and conclude that it is defined by integration against a unique Radon measure  $\mu_{\phi, \psi}$  :

$$(2.20) \quad \langle f(A)\phi, \psi \rangle = \int_{[m, M]} f d\mu_{\phi, \psi}.$$

The total mass  $|\mu_{\phi, \psi}|$  of this measure is the norm of the functional. Since it is a Borel measure, we can take the integral on  $-\infty, b]$  for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  ad, with the uniqueness, this shows that we have a continuous sesquilinear map

$$(2.21) \quad P_b(\phi, \psi) : H \times H \ni (\phi, \psi) \longmapsto \int_{[m, b]} d\mu_{\phi, \psi} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |P_b(\phi, \psi)| \leq \|A\| \|\phi\| \|\psi\|.$$

From the Hilbert space Riesz representation theorem it follows that this sesquilinear form defines, and is determined by, a bounded linear operator

$$(2.22) \quad P_b(\phi, \psi) = \langle P_b \phi, \psi \rangle, \quad \|P_b\| \leq \|A\|.$$

In fact, from the functional calculus (the multiplicativity in (2.18)) we see that

$$(2.23) \quad P_b^* = P_b, \quad P_b^2 = P_b, \quad \|P_b\| \leq 1,$$

so  $P_b$  is a projection.

Thus the spectral theorem gives us an increasing (with  $b$ ) family of commuting self-adjoint projections such that  $\mu_{\phi, \psi}((-\infty, b]) = \langle P_b \phi, \psi \rangle$  determines the Radon measure for which (2.20) holds. One can go further and think of  $P_b$  itself as determining a measure

$$(2.24) \quad \mu((-\infty, b]) = P_b$$

which takes values in the projections on  $H$  and which allows the functions of  $A$  to be written as integrals in the form

$$(2.25) \quad f(A) = \int_{[m,M]} f d\mu$$

of which (2.20) becomes the ‘weak form’. To do so one needs to develop the theory of such measures and the corresponding integrals. This is not so hard but I shall not do it.