18.156 Lecture Notes Lecture 30

Lecturer: Larry Guth Trans.: Cole Graham

April 24, 2015

In the past few lectures we focused on solutions to the linear homogeneous Schrödinger equation:

$$\partial_t u = i\Delta u \tag{1}$$

with $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$. If u satisfies the initial condition $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$ (for sufficiently well-behaved u_0), the solution u is given for all times $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u(x,t) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 \coloneqq \left(e^{it(2\pi i\omega)^2}\hat{u}_0\right).$$

We have developed a variety of bounds for such solutions, which include:

- (A) $\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^2_x} = \|u_0\|_{L^2_x}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (B) $\left\| e^{it\Delta} u_0 \right\|_{L^{\infty}_x} \lesssim |t|^{-d/2} \left\| u_0 \right\|_{L^1_x}$ for all $t \neq 0$.
- (C) Interpolation between (A) and (B).

The goal of this lecture is a proof of the following theorem via a combination of (A), (B), and (C):

Theorem 1 ((Strichartz)). If u solves (1) and $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$,

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^{\sigma}_{x,t}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2_x},$$
 (2)

where $\sigma = \frac{2(d+2)}{d}$.

To understand the strengths and weaknesses of the bounds we already have, let use consider a few specific cases.

Case 1. $u_0 \in C_c^{\infty}(B^d(1))$ with $0 \le u_0 \le 1$ and $u_0 = 1$ on $B^d(\frac{1}{2})$.

We first note that $||u_0||_{L^p_x} \sim 1$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$. We have shown in previous lectures that qualitatively $e^{it\Delta}u_0$ will spread out as t evolves forward. For $t \ge 1$, $|u(x,t)| \sim t^{-d/2}$ for $|x| \le t$, and u(x,t) decays rapidly for $|x| \ge t$.

(A) shows that $\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^2} \sim 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which is sharp (it *must* be sharp, since it's an equality).

(B) shows that $\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^{\infty}_x} \leq |t^{-d/2}|$ for all $t \neq 0$. This is sharp for $|t| \geq 1$, but is pretty useless when |t| < 1.

(C) has the same effectiveness as (B).

To expand on this example, consider a slightly more general case:

Case 2. $u_0 \in C_c^{\infty}(B^d(R))$ with $R > 0, 0 \le u_0 \le 1$, and $u_0 = 1$ on $B^d(\frac{R}{2})$.

Now (B) and (C) work well for $|t| \ge R^2$, but are weak for $t \in (-R^2, R^2)$. This weakness stems from "focusing." Any L^{∞} bound on u must account for the possibility that u is focusing, so that u concentrates in a small region with large values at some future time. We have studied such cases before; a standard example is $w_0 = e^{-iR\Delta}u_0$ with the u_0 from Case 1. Then $|w_0| \sim R^{-d/2}$ on $B^d(R)$, while $|e^{iR\Delta}w_0| \sim 1$ on $B^d(1)$. In this situation (B) is sharp for $t \sim R$. The focusing with $|e^{it\Delta}w_0| \sim 1$ only occurs over a small time interval, say for $t \in [-1, 1]$. However, our application of (B) does not prevent focusing from happening over an extended period of time, for instance for all $t \in [R, 2R]$.

Such a "long focus" is precisely the sort of behavior disallowed by Theorem 1. The L^{σ} bound on space and time may permit a focus during a small subset of times, but not over a large time interval. In fact, (B) already controls the length of the focus in Case 2. If we suppose that $e^{iR\Delta}w_0$ is concentrated in $B^d(1)$, we may use $e^{iR\Delta}w_0$ as initial data in Case 1 to show that $e^{it\Delta}w_0$ will not remain focused when $|t - R| \gtrsim 1$. In other words, we may obtain more information about solutions to (1) by using $e^{it\Delta}u_0$ as initial data in (B) and concluding a bound about $e^{is\Delta}u_0$ for $s \neq t$. The proof of Theorem 1 applies (B) to all such pairs (t, s).

We first recall the L^2 -unitarity of $e^{it\Delta}$:

Lemma 2. $\langle e^{it\Delta}f,g\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \langle f,e^{-it\Delta}g\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$

Proof. By the definition of the L^2 -inner product on \mathbb{R}^d and Plancherel's theorem:

$$\left\langle e^{it\Delta}f,g\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it\Delta}f\,\bar{g} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it(2\pi i\omega)^2}\hat{f}\,\bar{\hat{g}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\hat{f}\,\overline{e^{-it(2\pi i\omega)^2}\hat{g}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f\,\overline{e^{-it\Delta}g} = \left\langle f,e^{-it\Delta}g\right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

With this unitarity we may now proceed with the proof of Strichartz:

Proof (Thoremm 1). By duality,

$$\left\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\right\|_{L^{\sigma}_{x,t}} = \sup_{\|F\|_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} e^{it\Delta}u_0 \bar{F},$$

where σ' is the dual exponent of σ satisfying $\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma'} = 1$. By Lemma 2,

$$\sup_{\|F\|_{L_{x,t}^{\sigma'}}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} e^{it\Delta} u_0 \bar{F} = \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle e^{it\Delta} u_0, F_t \right\rangle \, dt = \sup \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle u_0, e^{-it\Delta} F_t \right\rangle \, dt = \sup \left\langle u_0, \int e^{-it\Delta} F_t \, dt \right\rangle.$$

Hence by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^{\sigma}_{x,t}} \le \|u_0\|_{L^2_x} \sup \left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{F^2_x}.$$

It therefore suffices to check that

$$\sup_{\|F\|_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}}=1}\left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{F^2_x}\lesssim 1.$$

We prove this separately as its own lemma:

Lemma 3.

$$\left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{F^2_x} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}}$$

Proof.

$$\left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{L^2_x}^2 = \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\Delta}F_t \, dt, \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-is\Delta}F_s \, ds \right\rangle = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\langle e^{-it\Delta}F_t, e^{-is\Delta}F_s \right\rangle \, dtds = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\langle F_t, e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F_s \right\rangle \, dtds$$

This expression effectively measures the interaction between all pairs (t, s), as highlighted earlier. Now if

$$G(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)\Delta} F_s(x) \, ds,$$

we may write

$$\left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{F_x^2}^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\langle F_t, e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F_s \right\rangle \, dtds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle F_t, \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F_s \, ds \right\rangle \, dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} F\bar{G}.$$

By Hölder,

$$\left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{L^2_x}^2 \le \|F\|_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}} \left\|\bar{G}\right\|_{L^{\sigma}_{x,t}}$$

Finally, by the Duhamel bound derived in the previous lecture, $\|\bar{G}\|_{L^{\sigma}_{x,t}} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}}$. Hence

$$\left\|\int e^{-it\Delta}F_t\right\|_{L^2_x}^2 \lesssim \|F\|_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}}^2.$$

In fact, Lemma 3 is closely related to the inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation, and is significant enough that it may be restated as its own theorem:

Theorem 4. If $\partial_t u = i\Delta u + F$ with $F \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R})$ and u vanishes before the support of F,

$$||u(x,0)||_{L^2_x} \lesssim ||F||_{L^{\sigma'}_{x,t}}.$$