

Langlands parameters and finite-dimensional representations

David Vogan

Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Tora X
April 7, 2019

What Langlands can do for you

Representations of compact Lie groups

Representations of finite Chevalley groups

Representations of p -adic maximal compacts

Old reasons for listening to Langlands

GL_n everybody's favorite reductive group/local F .

Want to understand $\widehat{GL_n(F)}$ = set of irr reps (**hard**).

Classical approach (Harish-Chandra *et alia* 1950s):

1. find big **compact subgp** $K \subset GL_n(F)$;
2. understand \widehat{K} (supposed to be **easy?**)
3. understand reps of $GL_n(F)$ restricted to K .

Langlands (1960s) studies $\widehat{GL_n(F)}$ (global reasons).

Global suggests: $\widehat{GL_n(F)} \overset{\approx}{\leftrightarrow} n$ -diml reps of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

Harris/Taylor **prove**: $\widehat{GL_n(F)} \overset{\text{bij}}{\leftrightarrow} n$ -diml of Weil-Deligne(F).

Meanwhile (Howe *et alia* 1970s...) continue $GL_n(F)|_K$.

One difficulty (of many): \widehat{K} **not so easy after all**.

First question: what's Langlands tell us about \widehat{K} ?

Representations of compact Lie groups

This is **introduction number two**.

Suppose K is a compact Lie group.

Famous false fact¹ : **we understand \widehat{K}** .

Proof we don't: $O(n)$ = maximal compact in $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Fix irreducible $\tau \in \widehat{O(n)}$.

How do you **write down** τ ? (“Highest weight??”)

How do you **calculate** mult of τ in principal series?

I'll explain: $\widehat{O(n)} \leftrightarrow$ temp irr of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ /unram twist

\leftrightarrow certain Langlands parameters. . .

Second question: **what's Langlands tell us about \widehat{K} ?**
(the big compact subgroup of $GL_n(F)$).

¹This alliteration is homage to American politics. **Fifty-four forty or fight.**
Tippecanoe and Tyler too. Totally trust Trump. It's just something we're good at.

Representations of finite Chevalley groups

This is [introduction number three](#).

Suppose G is a reductive group defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

[Deligne-Lusztig](#) and [Lusztig](#) described irr reps of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Can their results be formulated in spirit of Langlands?

[Deligne-Lusztig](#) use ratl max torus $T \subset G$, character

$$\theta: T(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

[Lusztig](#): $(T, \theta) \rightsquigarrow$ semisimple conj class $x \in {}^\vee G(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

This is a step in the right direction, but not quite a Langlands classification.

Third question: [what's Langlands tell us about \$\widehat{G\(\mathbb{F}_q\)}\$?](#)

Structure of compact conn Lie grps

K compact connected Lie $\supset T$ maximal torus.

$$X^*(T) =_{\text{def}} \text{lattice of chars } \lambda: T \rightarrow S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$X_*(T) =_{\text{def}} \text{lattice of cochars } \xi: S^1 \rightarrow T.$$

Adjoint rep of T on cplx Lie algebra decomposes

$$\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in X^*(T) \setminus \{0\}} \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}, \alpha};$$

$$\rightsquigarrow R = R(K, T) = \text{roots of } T \text{ in } K \subset X^*(T).$$

Each root α gives rise to **root TDS**

$$\phi_\alpha: SU(2) \rightarrow K, \quad \text{im } d\phi_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}, \alpha} + \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}, -\alpha}$$

defined up to conjugation by T .

$$\phi_\alpha|_{\text{diagonal}} \rightsquigarrow \alpha^\vee: S^1 \rightarrow K \text{ coroot for } \alpha.$$

$$\rightsquigarrow R^\vee = R^\vee(K, T) \subset X_*(T),$$

(finite set in bijection with R) **coroots of T in K .**

We do understand compact **conn** Lie grps

cpt conn Lie $K \supset T$ max torus $\rightsquigarrow (X^*, R, X_*, R^\vee)$: dual lattices (X^*, X_*) , finite subsets (R, R^\vee) in bijection.

Pair $(\alpha, \alpha^\vee) \rightsquigarrow$

$$s_\alpha: X^* \rightarrow X^*, \quad s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha, \quad s_{\alpha^\vee} = {}^t s_\alpha: X_* \rightarrow X_*.$$

PROPERTIES: for all $\alpha \in R$

1. **RD1**: $\langle \alpha, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$ (so $s_\alpha^2 = \text{Id}$)
2. **RD2**: $s_\alpha R = R$, $s_\alpha^\vee R^\vee = R^\vee$, $(s_\alpha \beta)^\vee = s_{\alpha^\vee}(\beta^\vee)$
3. **RDreduced**: $2\alpha \notin R$, $2\alpha^\vee \notin R^\vee$.

Axioms \Leftrightarrow root datum; $W = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R \rangle =$ Weyl group.

Root datum is **based** if we fix $(R^+, R^{\vee,+})$ (pos roots).

Axioms **symm** in X^* , X_* : $(X_*, R^\vee, X^*, R) =$ dual root datum.

Theorem (Grothendieck)

1. Each root datum \Leftrightarrow unique cpt conn Lie grp.
2. $k = \bar{k}$: root datum \Leftrightarrow unique conn reductive alg grp / k .
3. $k \neq \bar{k}$: red alg grp / $k \rightsquigarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \curvearrowright$ based root datum.

Representations of compact **conn** Lie grps

Recall cpt conn Lie $K \supset T$ max torus $\rightsquigarrow (X^*, R, X_*, R^\vee)$.

$$(X^*, R, X_*, R^\vee) \rightsquigarrow K_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{complex conn reductive alg} \\ = \text{Spec}(K\text{-finite functions on } K)$$

K = max compact subgp of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$.

irr reps of K = irr alg reps of $K_{\mathbb{C}} = X^*/W$.

${}^\vee K =_{\text{def}} \text{cplx alg group} \rightsquigarrow (X_*, R^\vee, X^*, R)$ **cplx dual gp**.

Theorem (Cartan-Weyl)

1. $\widehat{K} \leftrightarrow (\text{homs } \phi_c: S^1 \rightarrow {}^\vee K) / ({}^\vee K\text{-conj}), \quad E(\phi_c) \leftrightarrow \phi_c.$
2. Each side is X^*/W .

Theorem (Zhelobenko) Put $\widehat{K}_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{cont } \infty\text{-diml irr reps of } K_{\mathbb{C}}$.

1. $\widehat{K}_{\mathbb{C}} \leftrightarrow (\text{homs } \phi: \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow {}^\vee K) / ({}^\vee K\text{-conj}), \quad X(\phi) \leftrightarrow \phi.$
2. $X(\phi)|_K \approx \text{Ind}_T^K(\mathbb{C}_{\phi|_{S^1}}).$
3. $E(\phi|_{S^1}) = \text{lowest } K\text{-type of } X(\phi).$

Langlands classification for real groups

G complex reductive alg group, $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$. Fix inner class of real forms $\sigma = \text{action } \Gamma \curvearrowright$ (based root datum).

Definition Cartan involution for σ is inv alg aut θ of G such that $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$ is compact real form of G .

inner class of real forms $\sigma =$ inner class of alg invs θ .

Definition L-group for $(G, \{\sigma\})$ is ${}^L G =_{\text{def}} {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma$.

Definition Weil grp $W_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbb{C}^\times, j \rangle$, $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$.

Definition Langlands param $= \underbrace{(\phi: W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G)}_{\text{ss image, respect } \Gamma} / \text{conj by } {}^\vee G$.

Theorem (Langlands, Knapp-Zuckerman)

1. Param $\phi \rightsquigarrow$ L-packet $\Pi(\phi)$ of reps $\pi_j \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{R}, \sigma_j)}$.
2. L-packets disjoint; cover all reps of all real forms.
3. $\Pi(\phi)$ indexed by $({}^\vee G^\phi / {}^\vee G_0^\phi)^\wedge$.
4. (3) is (slightly & correctably) false: Adams-Barbasch-Vogan.

Langlands classification for real max cpts

G cplx reductive endowed with **inner class** of real forms $\sigma \leftrightarrow$
inner class of alg invs θ ; ${}^L G = L$ -group.

$K = G^\theta =$ cplxified max cpt of $G(\mathbb{R}, \sigma)$.

Defn Compact Weil grp $W_{\mathbb{R},c} = \langle S^1, j \rangle, 1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R},c} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1$.

$W_{\mathbb{R},c}$ is **not** $O(2)$.

Defn Compact param $= \underbrace{(\phi_c : W_{\mathbb{R},c} \rightarrow {}^L G)}_{\text{respect } \Gamma} / \text{conj by } {}^\vee G$.

Theorem.

1. Param $\phi_c \rightsquigarrow L_c$ -pkt $\Pi_c(\phi_c)$ of irr reps μ_j of $K_j = G^{\theta_j}$.
2. L_c -packets **disjoint**; cover **all** reps of **all** $K = G^\theta$.
3. $\Pi_c(\phi_c)$ **indexed** by $({}^\vee G^{\phi_c} / {}^\vee G_0^{\phi_c})^\sim$.
4. **{lowest K -types of all $\pi \in \Pi(\phi)$ } = $\Pi_c(\phi|_{W_{\mathbb{R},c}})$.**
5. (3) is (correctably) false...



Example of O_{2n}

$$G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad {}^L G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \times \Gamma.$$

Cartan involution is $\theta g = {}^t g^{-1}$, $K = O_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Recall $W_{\mathbb{R},c} = \langle S^1, j \rangle$, $je^{i\theta}j^{-1} = e^{-i\theta}$, $j^2 = -1 \in S^1$.

Theorem says $\widehat{O_{2n}} \leftrightarrow 2n\text{-diml reps of } W_{\mathbb{R},c}$.

Irr reps of $W_{\mathbb{R},c}$ are

- 1-diml trivial rep $\delta_+(e^{i\theta}) = 1$, $\delta_+(j) = 1$.
- 1-diml sign rep $\delta_-(e^{i\theta}) = 1$, $\delta_-(j) = -1$.
- For $m > 0$ integer, 2-dimensional representation

$$\tau_m(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{im\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-im\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_m(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (-1)^m & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$2n\text{-diml rep} \leftrightarrow \text{pos ints } m_1 > \dots > m_r > 0$, non-neg ints

(a_1, \dots, a_r, p, q) so $2n = 2a_1 + \dots + 2a_r + p + q$.

Rep is $a_1\tau_{m_1} + \dots + a_r\tau_{m_r} + p\delta_+ + q\delta_-$.

Highest weight for O_{2n} rep is

$$\underbrace{(m_1 + 1, \dots, m_1 + 1, \dots)}_{a_1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{(m_r + 1, \dots, m_r + 1)}_{a_r \text{ times}}, \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{\min(p,q)}, \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{|q-p|/2}.$$

Example of U_2

$$G = Sp_4(\mathbb{R}), K(\mathbb{R}) = U_2, {}^L G = SO_5(\mathbb{C}) \times \Gamma.$$

Thm says $\widehat{U}_n \leftrightarrow$ 5-diml orth reps of $W_{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}}$. Irrs:

- 1-diml trivial rep $\delta_+(e^{i\theta}) = 1, \delta_+(j) = 1$ (orth).
- 1-diml sign rep $\delta_-(e^{i\theta}) = 1, \delta_-(j) = -1$ (orth).
- For $m > 0$ integer, 2-dimensional representation

$$\tau_m(e^{i\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{im\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-im\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_m(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (-1)^m & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{orth } m \text{ even} \\ \text{sympl } m \text{ odd} \end{array}$$

Here are 5-diml orth reps of $W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightsquigarrow U_2$ highest wts.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \tau_{2m_1} + \tau_{2m_2} + \delta_+ & (m_1 > m_2 \geq 1) & (m_1 + 1, m_2 + 2), (m_1 + 1, -m_2) \\ & & (m_2, -m_1 - 1), (-m_2 - 2, -m_1 - 1) \\ \tau_{2m} + \tau_{2m} + \delta_+ & (m \geq 1) & (m + 1, -m), (m, -m - 1) \\ \tau_{2\ell-1} + \tau_{2\ell-1} + \delta_+ & (\ell \geq 1) & (\ell + 1, -\ell - 1) \\ 4\delta_- + \delta_+ & & (1, 1), (-1, -1) \\ 2\delta_- + 3\delta_+ & & (1, 0), (0, -1) \\ 5\delta_+ & & (0, 0) \end{array} .$$

Finite Chevalley groups

$k = \mathbb{F}_q$ finite field; $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) = \varprojlim_m \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

Generator is **arith Frobenius** $\text{Frob} = q$ th power map.

k -ratl form of conn reductive alg $G =$ action of Γ on based root datum = **fin order aut**.

Definition L -group for G/k is ${}^L G =_{\text{def}} {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma$.

Here ${}^\vee G$ taken over \mathbb{C} , or $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, or...: **field for reps**.

Def (MacDonald) Weil grp $W_k = \varprojlim_m \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^\times$; $W_k \rightarrow \Gamma$ **trivial**.

Def (MacDonald) Langlands param = $(\underbrace{\rho: W_k \rightarrow {}^\vee G}_{\text{respect } \Gamma}) / {}^\vee G$ conj.

$\rho(W_k) \subset {}^\vee G$ (not ${}^L G$) since $W_k \rightarrow 1 \in \Gamma$.

Respect Γ = exists $f \in {}^L G$ mapping to Frob , $\text{Ad}(f)\phi(\gamma) = \rho(\text{Frob } \gamma)$.

KEEP COSET $f^\vee G_0^{\rho c}$ as part of ρc .

Deligne-Langlands param $\phi = (\rho_\phi, N_\phi)$ ($N \in {}^\vee \mathfrak{g}^{\rho_\phi}$, $\text{Ad}(f)N = qN$).

Langlands parameters for \mathbb{F}_q

$G \supset B \supset T$ conn red alg / \mathbb{F}_q , **Frob**: $G \rightarrow G$ Frobenius.

Get Γ action on W permuting gens $\rightsquigarrow {}^\Gamma W = W \rtimes \Gamma$

$\tilde{w} = w$ Frob (another) **Frobenius** morphism $T \rightarrow T$.

Deligne-Lusztig built chars of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ from virt chars $R_{\theta'}^{T'}$:
 T' ratl maxl torus, θ' char of $T'(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proposition. For any rational = Frob-stable max torus
 $T' \subset G$, $\exists!$ W -conj class of \tilde{w} so $(T', \text{Frob}) \simeq (T, \tilde{w})$.

Prop (Macdonald) $\widehat{T}^{\tilde{w}} \simeq \{\rho: W_k \rightarrow {}^\vee T \mid w \text{ Frob } \phi(\gamma) = \phi(\text{Frob } \gamma)\}$.

Conclusion: L-params ρ' for $G = \text{DL-pairs } (T', \theta')$.

$R_{\theta'}^{T'}$ and $R_{\theta''}^{T''}$ overlap $\iff \rho', \rho'' \in {}^\vee G$ -conjugate.

$\widehat{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ **partitioned** by Langlands parameters.

So far this is Deligne-Lusztig 1976: (relatively) **easy**.

Using **Deligne**-Langlands params to shrink L -pkts harder...

F finite $\rightsquigarrow S(F) =_{\text{def}} \{(f, \sigma) \mid f \in F, \sigma \in \widehat{F^f}\} / (\text{conj by } F)$.

$$S((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \times (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}})^n.$$

$S(S_3) = \{(1, \mathbb{C}), (1, \text{refl}), (1, \text{sgn}), (s_2, \mathbb{C}), (s_2, \text{sgn}), (s_3, \mathbb{C}), (s_3, \omega), (s_3, \omega^2)\}$.

$G \supset B \supset T$ conn red alg $/\mathbb{F}_q$, ${}^L G$ L -group.

Def $\phi = (\rho, N)$ **special** if $N \in {}^\vee \mathfrak{g}^\rho$ is special nilp.

Recall that ϕ remembers coset $f^\vee G_0^{\rho, N}$.

Theorem (Lusztig). Irreducible reps of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are partitioned into packets $\Pi(\phi)$ by **special** DL parameters ϕ . The packet $\Pi(\phi)$ is indexed by $S({}^\vee G^\phi / {}^\vee G_0^\phi)$ using **Lusztig quotient** of ${}^\vee G^\phi / {}^\vee G_0^\phi$.

To make this look like other Langlands classifications, prefer to **drop** requirement N special, **replace** Lusztig quotient by ${}^\vee G^\phi / {}^\vee G_0^\phi$, **replace** $S(F)$ by subset \widehat{F} .

1st two prefs \rightsquigarrow **more** params, 3rd \rightsquigarrow **fewer** params.

Rewriting Lusztig's orange book by Langlands

Deligne-Langlands param for $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is

$$\phi_L = (\rho, N, \bar{f}),$$

1. $\rho: W_k \rightarrow {}^\vee G$ semisimple, $N \in {}^\vee \mathfrak{g}^\phi$ nilpotent,
2. $\bar{f} = f({}^\vee G^{\phi, N})$, $f \in {}^L G \rightarrow \text{Frob}$
3. $\text{Ad}(f)(\rho(w)) = \rho(w^q)$, $\text{Ad}(f)(N) = qN$

complete geom Deligne-Langlands param has also

4. $\xi \in {}^\vee G^{\phi, N} / \widehat{{}^\vee G_0^{\rho, N}}$, $\xi|_{Z({}^\vee G)} = 1$.

Conjecture Irreducible reps of $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ partitioned into packets

$\Pi_L(\phi_L)$ by **all** Deligne-Langlands parameters ϕ_L . Packet

$\Pi_L(\phi_L)$ indexed by **irr reps** ξ of ${}^\vee G^{\phi, N} / \widehat{{}^\vee G_0^{\rho, N}} Z({}^\vee G)$.

Lifting finite to p -adic

$G \supset B \supset T$ conn red alg / $k = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Fix p -adic $F \supset \mathcal{O} \supset \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P} \simeq k$.

$\Gamma_F = \text{Gal } \bar{F}/F$; $1 \rightarrow I_F \rightarrow \Gamma_F \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 1$.

Weil group of F is preimage of $\mathbb{Z} = \langle \text{Frob} \rangle$, so

$$1 \rightarrow I_F \rightarrow W_F \rightarrow \langle \text{Frob} \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Set $P_F =$ wild ramif grp $\subset I_F$; then $I_F/P_F \simeq W_k$.

Fix p -adic $\mathbb{G} \leftrightarrow$ based root datum of G/k , Γ_F acts via Γ_k .

G/k and \mathbb{G}/F have **same** L-group ${}^L G$.

Prop L-params for $G/k = (\text{tamely ramif params for } \mathbb{G}/F)|_{I_F}$.

Def cpt Weil grp $W_{F,c} =$ inertia subgroup I_F .

Def cpt param is $\rho_c: I_F \rightarrow {}^L G$ s.t. \exists extn to L-param.

Extension to cpt **Deligne**-Langlands params $\phi_c = (\rho_c, N)$ easy.

Wild conjectures

G/\bar{F} conn reduc alg, **inner class** of F -forms σ .

$\{K_j(\sigma)\}$ **maxl cpt subgps** of $G(F, \sigma)$.

${}^L G = {}^\vee G \rtimes \Gamma_F$ **L -group** for $(G, \{\sigma\})$.

Conjecture

1. Cpt DL param $\phi_c \rightsquigarrow L_c$ -pkt of irr reps $\mu_j(\sigma)$ of $K_j(\sigma)$.
2. L_c packets are **disjoint**.
3. ϕ any ext of $\phi_c \rightsquigarrow \Pi_c(\phi_c) = \{\text{LKTs of all } \pi \in \Pi(\phi)\}$.
4. $\Pi_c(\phi_c)$ **indexed** by $({}^\vee G^{\phi_c} / {}^\vee G_0^{\phi_c})^\wedge$.
5. $\bigcup \Pi_c(\phi_c) =$ all irrs \supset **Bushnell-Kutzko type**.

NOTE: some $K_j \rightarrow G_j(\mathbb{F}_q)$, G_j smaller than G .

Corr reps should correspond to non-special N , etc.

Chance that this is formulated properly is near zero.

I know this because I once taught Bayesian inference.

Hope that it's wrong in interesting ways.