

# Rank and Rigidity of Group-Circulant Matrices

Michael Yang

Mentor: Dr. Minh-Tâm Trinh

Lakeside School

October 14-15, 2023

MIT PRIMES Conference

# 1 Circulant Matrices

# 2 Group-Circulant Matrices

# 3 Matrix Rigidity

# 4 Acknowledgements

## Definition (Circulant Matrix)

A (classical) **circulant matrix** is a square matrix where every row is the same as the previous one, but shifted to the left by one unit (with wrap-around).

## Definition (Circulant Matrix)

A (classical) **circulant matrix** is a square matrix where every row is the same as the previous one, but shifted to the left by one unit (with wrap-around).

## Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

General form of a circulant matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_0 \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \cdots & c_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n-1} & c_0 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Each of the  $c_i$ s appears exactly once in every row and column.

Circulant matrices are useful in many areas.

Circulant matrices are useful in many areas.

- Signal processing

Circulant matrices are useful in many areas.

- Signal processing
- Discrete Fourier Transform



Circulant matrices are useful in many areas.

- Signal processing
- Discrete Fourier Transform

What are their ranks? When are they invertible?

Circulant matrices are useful in many areas.

- Signal processing
- Discrete Fourier Transform

What are their ranks? When are they invertible?

### Example

$$\text{rank} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3$$

$$\text{rank} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2$$

Circulant matrices are useful in many areas.

- Signal processing
- Discrete Fourier Transform

What are their ranks? When are they invertible?

### Example

$$\text{rank} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3 \qquad \text{rank} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2$$

We'll actually answer these questions for a larger family of matrices: group-circulants.

Circulant matrices are a special example of a larger class of matrices, called **group-circulant matrices**.

Circulant matrices are a special example of a larger class of matrices, called **group-circulant matrices**.

### Definition (Group-Circulant Matrix)

Given a finite group  $G$ , a ring  $\Lambda$ , and a function  $f : G \rightarrow \Lambda$ , a  $G$ -circulant matrix of  $f$  is a  $|G| \times |G|$  matrix  $M$  with rows and columns indexed by the elements of  $G$ , such that  $M_{x,y} = f(xy)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .

Classical circulant matrices are  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -circulant matrices.

Classical circulant matrices are  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -circulant matrices.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 0 \\
 1 \\
 2 \\
 \vdots \\
 n-1
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\
 f(0) & f(1) & f(2) & \cdots & f(n-1) \\
 f(1) & f(2) & f(3) & \cdots & f(0) \\
 f(2) & f(3) & f(4) & \cdots & f(1) \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 f(n-1) & f(0) & f(1) & \cdots & f(n-2)
 \end{pmatrix}$$

Classical circulant matrices are  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -circulant matrices.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \\
 0 \\
 1 \\
 2 \\
 \vdots \\
 n-1
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\
 f(0) & f(1) & f(2) & \cdots & f(n-1) \\
 f(1) & f(2) & f(3) & \cdots & f(0) \\
 f(2) & f(3) & f(4) & \cdots & f(1) \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 f(n-1) & f(0) & f(1) & \cdots & f(n-2)
 \end{pmatrix}$$

If we let  $f(i) = c_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , we get the general form for a circulant.



Take  $G = K_4 := \{e, x, y, xy\}$ , where  $xy = yx$  and  $x^2 = y^2 = e$ .

Take  $G = K_4 := \{e, x, y, xy\}$ , where  $xy = yx$  and  $x^2 = y^2 = e$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 e \\
 x \\
 y \\
 xy
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 e & x & y & xy \\
 f(e) & f(x) & f(y) & f(xy) \\
 f(x) & f(e) & f(xy) & f(y) \\
 f(y) & f(xy) & f(e) & f(x) \\
 f(xy) & f(y) & f(x) & f(e)
 \end{pmatrix}$$

Take  $G = K_4 := \{e, x, y, xy\}$ , where  $xy = yx$  and  $x^2 = y^2 = e$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 e \\
 x \\
 y \\
 xy
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 e \quad x \quad y \quad xy \\
 \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
 f(e) & f(x) & f(y) & f(xy) \\
 f(x) & f(e) & f(xy) & f(y) \\
 f(y) & f(xy) & f(e) & f(x) \\
 f(xy) & f(y) & f(x) & f(e)
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

$f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f(e) = 1, f(x) = 2, f(y) = 3, f(xy) = 4$ .

Take  $G = K_4 := \{e, x, y, xy\}$ , where  $xy = yx$  and  $x^2 = y^2 = e$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 e \\
 x \\
 y \\
 xy
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 e \quad x \quad y \quad xy \\
 \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
 f(e) & f(x) & f(y) & f(xy) \\
 f(x) & f(e) & f(xy) & f(y) \\
 f(y) & f(xy) & f(e) & f(x) \\
 f(xy) & f(y) & f(x) & f(e)
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

$f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f(e) = 1, f(x) = 2, f(y) = 3, f(xy) = 4$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 e \\
 x \\
 y \\
 xy
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 e \quad x \quad y \quad xy \\
 \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\
 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\
 4 & 3 & 2 & 1
 \end{array} \right)
 \end{array}$$

Take  $G = K_4 := \{e, x, y, xy\}$ , where  $xy = yx$  and  $x^2 = y^2 = e$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} e \\ x \\ y \\ xy \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} e & x & y & xy \\ f(e) & f(x) & f(y) & f(xy) \\ f(x) & f(e) & f(xy) & f(y) \\ f(y) & f(xy) & f(e) & f(x) \\ f(xy) & f(y) & f(x) & f(e) \end{pmatrix}$$

$f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f(e) = 1, f(x) = 2, f(y) = 3, f(xy) = 4$ .

$$\text{rank} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3$$

What are the ranks of group-circulants?

## Theorem (Group-Circulant Rank)

For any group  $G$ , good field  $\Lambda$ , and function  $f : G \rightarrow \Lambda$ , express  $f$  in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{\rho} \left( \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq \deg \rho} c_{\rho, i, j} \rho_{i, j}(x) \right)$$

where  $\rho$  runs over irreducible representations of  $G$ , the functions  $\rho_{i, j}$  are the matrix coefficients of  $\rho$ , and  $c_{\rho, i, j} \in \Lambda$ . Then, the rank of the  $G$ -circulant corresponding to  $f$  equals

$$\sum_{\rho} \left[ (\deg \rho) \operatorname{rank} \left( \begin{bmatrix} c_{\rho, 1, 1} & c_{\rho, 1, 2} & \cdots & c_{\rho, 1, N} \\ c_{\rho, 2, 1} & c_{\rho, 2, 2} & \cdots & c_{\rho, 2, N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{\rho, N, 1} & c_{\rho, N, 2} & \cdots & c_{\rho, N, N} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right].$$

How do we read the theorem?

How do we read the theorem?

- For any group  $G$  and good field  $\Lambda$ , the matrix coefficients form a basis for the vector space of functions from  $G$  to  $\Lambda$ .



How do we read the theorem?

- For any group  $G$  and good field  $\Lambda$ , the matrix coefficients form a basis for the vector space of functions from  $G$  to  $\Lambda$ .
- This basis is well-studied and nice to work with.

## How do we read the theorem?

- For any group  $G$  and good field  $\Lambda$ , the matrix coefficients form a basis for the vector space of functions from  $G$  to  $\Lambda$ .
- This basis is well-studied and nice to work with.
- The theorem notes that when we write  $f$  as a sum of the matrix coefficients, the rank of the  $G$ -circulant can be deduced from the coefficients in that sum.

How do we read the theorem?

- For any group  $G$  and good field  $\Lambda$ , the matrix coefficients form a basis for the vector space of functions from  $G$  to  $\Lambda$ .
- This basis is well-studied and nice to work with.
- The theorem notes that when we write  $f$  as a sum of the matrix coefficients, the rank of the  $G$ -circulant can be deduced from the coefficients in that sum.

While this theorem was known to Diaconis, we gave a new, more elementary proof.

When we take  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  in the theorem, we get the following result on the rank of classical circulant matrices:

When we take  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  in the theorem, we get the following result on the rank of classical circulant matrices:

### Corollary (Circulant Rank)

*Let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . The rank of the  $n \times n$  circulant matrix with first row  $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}]$  is the number of nonzero entries in the vector*

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \dots & \omega^{-(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{-(n-1)} & \omega^{-(2n-2)} & \dots & \omega^{-(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

When we take  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  in the theorem, we get the following result on the rank of classical circulant matrices:

### Corollary (Circulant Rank)

Let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . The rank of the  $n \times n$  circulant matrix with first row  $[c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}]$  is the number of nonzero entries in the vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \dots & \omega^{-(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{-(n-1)} & \omega^{-(2n-2)} & \dots & \omega^{-(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Vanishing sums of roots of unity  $\implies$  singular circulants

## Definition (Matrix Rigidity)

Fix a square matrix  $M$ . The **rank- $r$  rigidity** of  $M$ , denoted  $\mathcal{R}_M(r)$ , is the minimum number of entries one needs to change in  $M$  to decrease its rank to at most  $r$ .

## Definition (Matrix Rigidity)

Fix a square matrix  $M$ . The **rank- $r$  rigidity** of  $M$ , denoted  $\mathcal{R}_M(r)$ , is the minimum number of entries one needs to change in  $M$  to decrease its rank to at most  $r$ .

## Example

For the  $n \times n$  identity matrix  $I_n$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_{I_n}(r) = n - r.$$

We can change  $n - r$  of the diagonal 1s to 0s to make the rank  $r$ .



## Example

Let

$$I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{R}_{I_3}(1) = 2$ .

## Example

Let

$$I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{R}_{I_3}(1) = 2$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example

Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{R}_M(1) = 3$ .

## Example

Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{R}_M(1) = 3$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 3/2 & 5/2 \\ 4 & 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example

Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{R}_M(1) = 3$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 1 & 3/2 & 5/2 \\ 4 & 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

Changing any two entries will leave a  $2 \times 2$  rectangle of full rank unchanged.

## Theorem (Valiant 1977)

*If  $M$  is a Valiant-rigid  $N \times N$  matrix, then the linear map corresponding to  $M$  cannot be computed by circuits of size  $O(N)$  and depth  $O(\log N)$ .*

## Theorem (Valiant 1977)

*If  $M$  is a Valiant-rigid  $N \times N$  matrix, then the linear map corresponding to  $M$  cannot be computed by circuits of size  $O(N)$  and depth  $O(\log N)$ .*

Valiant-rigid matrices are highly rigid.

Goal: find an **explicit** Valiant-rigid matrix.

## Theorem (Valiant 1977)

*If  $M$  is a Valiant-rigid  $N \times N$  matrix, then the linear map corresponding to  $M$  cannot be computed by circuits of size  $O(N)$  and depth  $O(\log N)$ .*

Valiant-rigid matrices are highly rigid.

Goal: find an **explicit** Valiant-rigid matrix.

Not rigid:



## Theorem (Valiant 1977)

*If  $M$  is a Valiant-rigid  $N \times N$  matrix, then the linear map corresponding to  $M$  cannot be computed by circuits of size  $O(N)$  and depth  $O(\log N)$ .*

Valiant-rigid matrices are highly rigid.

Goal: find an **explicit** Valiant-rigid matrix.

Not rigid:

- Super-regular matrices

## Theorem (Valiant 1977)

*If  $M$  is a Valiant-rigid  $N \times N$  matrix, then the linear map corresponding to  $M$  cannot be computed by circuits of size  $O(N)$  and depth  $O(\log N)$ .*

Valiant-rigid matrices are highly rigid.

Goal: find an **explicit** Valiant-rigid matrix.

Not rigid:

- Super-regular matrices
- Walsh-Hadamard transform

## Theorem (Valiant 1977)

*If  $M$  is a Valiant-rigid  $N \times N$  matrix, then the linear map corresponding to  $M$  cannot be computed by circuits of size  $O(N)$  and depth  $O(\log N)$ .*

Valiant-rigid matrices are highly rigid.

Goal: find an **explicit** Valiant-rigid matrix.

Not rigid:

- Super-regular matrices
- Walsh-Hadamard transform
- $G$ -circulants for abelian  $G$

## Theorem (Dvir–Liu 2019)

*Let  $G$  be an abelian group. The family of  $G$ -circulant matrices is not Valiant-rigid over any field of characteristic relatively prime to  $|G|$ .*

### Theorem (Dvir–Liu 2019)

*Let  $G$  be an abelian group. The family of  $G$ -circulant matrices is not Valiant-rigid over any field of characteristic relatively prime to  $|G|$ .*

### Theorem (Trinh–Y. 2023)

*For groups  $G$  with relatively large abelian normal subgroups, the family of  $G$ -circulant matrices is not Valiant-rigid.*

# Acknowledgements

Thank you to my mentor, Dr. Minh-Tâm Trinh, for proposing the project and resourcefully and patiently guiding me to the discovery of these results. Thank you also to the PRIMES-USA Program for the incredible opportunity to conduct this research. Lastly, thank you to my parents; without your unwavering support, none of this would have been possible.

# References

- [Val77] Leslie G Valiant. “Graph-theoretic arguments in low-level complexity”. In: *International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*. Springer. 1977, pp. 162–176.
- [Dia90] Persi Diaconis. “Patterned matrices”. In: *Proc. of Symposia in Applied Mathematics*. Vol. 40. 1990, pp. 37–58.
- [DE17] Zeev Dvir and Benjamin Edelman. “Matrix rigidity and the Croot-Lev-Pach lemma”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.01646* (2017).
- [DL19] Zeev Dvir and Allen Liu. “Fourier and circulant matrices are not rigid”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.07334* (2019).