

Value sharing of meromorphic functions

Kenta Suzuki
Mentor: Michael Zieve

Cranbrook Kingswood Upper School

October 17, 2020
MIT Primes Conference

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Notation: \mathbb{C} is the set of complex numbers, i.e., numbers of the form $a + bi$, where $i^2 = -1$ and a, b are real numbers.

Theorem: *Every degree- n polynomial $p(x)$ over \mathbb{C} , the field of complex numbers, has exactly n roots, counted with multiplicities.*

- Multiplicity of $p(x)$ at $c \in \mathbb{C}$: largest k such that $p(x)$ is divisible by $(x - c)^k$.
- This is not true for real numbers, since for example $x^2 + 1$ has no real roots, even though it has degree 2. However, $x^2 + 1$ has roots $i, -i$ in \mathbb{C} , each with multiplicity 1.

FTA restated: *If nonconstant complex polynomials $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ have the same preimages of 0 with the same multiplicities, then $p(x) = cq(x)$ for some constant c .*

This talk: generalize this to more complicated functions, and to preimages of **sets**, rather than points.

Shared multisets

Definition: A **multiset** is like a set, but where each element can occur multiple times.

Example: $\{1, 2, 2\}$ is a multiset of size 3.

- Write $|S|$ for the size of the multiset S .
- For any polynomial $p(x)$, write $p^{-1}(a)$ for the multiset of zeroes of $p(x) - a$. Thus $|p^{-1}(a)| = \deg(p)$.
- For a multiset S , write $p^{-1}(S)$ for the union $\bigcup_{a \in S} p^{-1}(a)$.
- If $p(x) = x^2$ we have $p^{-1}(\{0, 1, 2\}) = \{0, 0, 1, -1, \sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2}\}$.
- Say polynomials p, q share a multiset S if $p^{-1}(S) = q^{-1}(S)$.

Characteristic polynomials

Definition: For a multiset S , the **characteristic polynomial** of S is

$$f_S(x) := \prod_{a \in S} (x - a).$$

Example: If $S = \{1, 2, 2\}$ then $f_S(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2)^2$.

A useful reformulation: p, q share $S \iff p^{-1}(S) = q^{-1}(S) \iff p^{-1}(f_S^{-1}(0)) = q^{-1}(f_S^{-1}(0)) \iff f_S \circ p$ and $f_S \circ q$ have the same roots, counting multiplicities.

Polynomials sharing multisets

Observation: If nonconstant polynomials p, q share two disjoint nonempty finite multisets S, T then $g \circ p = g \circ q$ for some nonconstant polynomial $g(x)$.

Proof: For $f(x) := \prod_{a \in S} (x - a)$, the roots of $f(p(x))$ are the p -preimages of S , counting multiplicities, which equal the roots of $f(q(x))$. So $f(p(x)) = cf(q(x))$, and then use T to show $c^n = 1$ for some $n > 0$, so that $f^n \circ p = f^n \circ q$. Q.E.D.

Remark: if $g \circ p = g \circ q$ for some nonconstant $g(x)$ then p, q share each of the *infinitely many* multisets $g^{-1}(a)$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$, since

$$p^{-1}(g^{-1}(a)) = (g \circ p)^{-1}(a) = (g \circ q)^{-1}(a) = q^{-1}(g^{-1}(a)).$$

Rational functions sharing multisets

Definition: A **rational function** is one polynomial divided by another.

Definition: Functions p, q are **quasi-equivalent** if there exists a nonconstant rational function g such that $g \circ p = g \circ q$.

Observation: *If rational functions p, q share disjoint nonempty finite (multi)sets S_1, S_2, S_3 then they are quasi-equivalent.*

Remark: Quasi-equivalent p, q share infinitely many disjoint finite sets.

Meromorphic functions

Write $\mathbb{C}_\infty := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ (the “Riemann sphere”).

Meromorphic functions $p : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\infty$ are “well-behaved” functions, i.e., ratios of power series that converge everywhere on \mathbb{C} .

Example: Rational functions, trigonometric functions, and exponential functions are meromorphic. On the contrary, $|z|$ is not.

Theorem (Nevanlinna, 1926): *Meromorphic functions sharing five points are the same.*

Theorem (Nevanlinna, 1929): *If meromorphic p, q share four points then $p = \mu(q)$ for some degree-one rational function $\mu(x)$.*

Our main result generalizes these results to shared **(multi)sets**.

Meromorphic functions sharing sets

Main Theorem: Let p, q be meromorphic functions sharing disjoint nonempty finite multisets S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n , where $n \geq 4$. Then there is a rational function g such that $g \circ p = g \circ q$ and

(1) $0 < \deg(g) \leq \frac{1}{n-3}(-2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i|)$.

(2) If $n \geq 5$ then $0 < \deg(g) \leq \max_{i=1}^n |S_i|$.

- If such g exists then p, q share infinitely many sets of size $\deg(g)$.
- Four multisets is the best possible, since for example $p := (e^{x^2} - 1)/(e^x - 1)$ and $q := (e^{-x^2} - 1)/(e^{-x} - 1)$ share $\{0\}, \{1\}, \{\infty\}$ but are *not* quasi-equivalent.
- The bounds on the degree imply both of Nevanlinna's results.
- Meromorphic functions require more sets than rational functions because a function's zeroes and poles *don't* uniquely determine it up to a constant multiple. For example, e^x and 1 both have no zeroes or poles but are not constant multiples of each other.

Proof of Main Theorem

Lemma (Borel, 1897): *If r_1, \dots, r_k are meromorphic functions with no zeroes or poles, and $r_1 + \dots + r_k = 0$, then for some $i \neq j$, r_i is a constant multiple of r_j .*

- To apply this lemma for p, q sharing S_1, \dots, S_n , we must construct such r_1, \dots, r_k from p and q .

If p, q share S_i then $f_{S_i} \circ p$ and $f_{S_i} \circ q$ have the same zeroes, but possibly different poles.

Let $g_i = f_{S_i}^{|S_4|} / f_{S_4}^{|S_i|}$. Then $g_i(p)$ and $g_i(q)$ have the same zeroes and poles, so $g_i(p)/g_i(q)$ has no zeroes or poles.

Since we have three such functions g_1, g_2, g_3 , there is a polynomial in the $g_i(x)/g_i(y)$ equaling 0, hence a polynomial in the $g_i(p)/g_i(q)$ equaling 0, where each term has no zeroes or poles. Thus the ratio of two terms is a constant c , yielding $g(p) = cg(q)$ for a rational function g . With more work we show $c^\ell = 1$ for some $\ell > 0$, so $g^\ell(p) = g^\ell(q)$.

Degree bounds

We have shown that if p, q share S_1, \dots, S_n with $n \geq 4$ then $g(p) = g(q)$ for some nonconstant rational function $g(x)$. Pick one such $g(x)$ of the smallest possible degree.

We show $\deg(g) \leq \frac{1}{n-3}(-2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i|)$ via the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the fact that any meromorphic parametrization of a singular curve must factor through its normalization, and the fact that there are no nonconstant holomorphic maps from \mathbb{C} to a hyperbolic Riemann surface.

For $n \geq 5$ we show $\deg(g) \leq \max_{i=1}^n |S_i|$ by proving that one of the S_i 's must contain a multiset of the form $g^{-1}(a)$, so that $\deg(g) \leq |S_i|$ for some i . This is hard.

Minimal shared multisets

Definition: A multiset S shared by p and q is **minimal** if p and q do not share any nonempty proper sub-multiset of S .

It's easy to show that shared multisets are precisely the unions of minimal shared multisets, so to determine the shared multisets it suffices to determine the minimal shared multisets:

Theorem: *If p, q are quasi-equivalent, and g is of minimal degree such that $g \circ p = g \circ q$, then all but at most four minimal shared multisets are of the form $g^{-1}(a)$.*

- The minimal shared multisets not of the form $g^{-1}(a)$ come from one of two sources: the “missed values” of p and q , or the possibility that some $\ell > 1$ divides all multiplicities in $g^{-1}(a)$, e.g., $(x^2)^{-1}(0) = \{0, 0\}$.
- Proof uses Galois theory, algebraic topology, and algebraic geometry.

Other problems

The same methods can be used for other situations:

Theorem: *If meromorphic functions p, q are such that there are five pairs of nonempty disjoint multisets (S_i, T_i) such that $p^{-1}(S_i) = q^{-1}(T_i)$, then there are rational functions g, h such that $g \circ p = h \circ q$.*

Theorem: *Rational functions on a smooth projective curve C (over an algebraically closed constant field) which share three nonempty disjoint multisets are quasi-equivalent.*

Theorem: *Meromorphic functions on a (complete, algebraically closed) non-archimedean field which share three nonempty disjoint multisets are quasi-equivalent.*

We have a similar bound on the degree of the algebraic relation in all of these cases, and characterize the minimal shared multisets.

Questions

- Can similar results be proved for sharing sets ignoring multiplicity?
- What can be said about meromorphic functions sharing fewer than 4 multisets?
- What other types of functions can the results be generalized to? meromorphic functions on complex manifolds? rational functions on varieties? on schemes??

Acknowledgements

- Professor Michael Zieve, for his excellent mentorship
- MIT PRIMES, for this great opportunity
- Mom and Dad
- My grandfather

GCD of multiplicities in $g^{-1}(a)$

Theorem: *If meromorphic p, q are quasi-equivalent, and $g(x)$ is a minimal-degree rational function with $g(p) = g(q)$, then there are at most two points a for which the gcd of the multiplicities in $g^{-1}(a)$ is bigger than 1.*

Proof sketch: Show that if the gcd e_i of the multiplicities in $g^{-1}(a_i)$ satisfies $e_i > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ then $g = f(h)$ where $\deg(f) > 1$ and $\mathbb{C}(x)/\mathbb{C}(f(x))$ is Galois with non-cyclic group. Thus $f(x) - f(y)$ factors as $a(x) \cdot b(y) \cdot \prod_j (x - \mu_j(y))$ for some $a, b, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ with $\deg(\mu_j) = 1$. Hence $h(p) = \mu_j(h(q))$ for some j , so if $\mathbb{C}(u(x))$ is the subfield of $\mathbb{C}(x)$ fixed by the automorphism $\sigma_j: x \mapsto \mu_j(x)$ then $u(h(p)) = u(h(q))$. Since $G := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(x)/\mathbb{C}(f(x)))$ is non-cyclic, $\deg(u) = |\langle \sigma_j \rangle| < |G| = \deg(f)$, so $\deg(u(h)) < \deg(g)$, contradicting minimality of $\deg(g)$.

Algebraic topology

Suppose the gcd e_i of the multiplicities in $g^{-1}(a_i)$ satisfies $e_i > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. View g as a branched covering $S^2 \rightarrow S^2$. Klein (1886) constructed $f(x)$ with $\mathbb{C}(x)/\mathbb{C}(f(x))$ Galois but non-cyclic, where all multiplicities in $f^{-1}(a_i)$ equal e_i . Writing B for the set of branch points of g , the restrictions of g and f to $S^2 \setminus g^{-1}(B)$ and $S^2 \setminus f^{-1}(B)$ are topological covers ϕ and ψ , and any component X of the pullback of ϕ along ψ satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & S^2 \setminus f^{-1}(B) \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\ S^2 \setminus g^{-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & S^2 \setminus B \end{array}$$

The compactification of π_1 yields an *unbranched* cover of S^2 , which must be a homeomorphism since S^2 is simply connected, so $g = f \circ h$.

Proof of the degree bound

Theorem: *If meromorphic p, q share multisets S_1, \dots, S_n with $n \geq 4$ then*
 $\deg(g) \leq \frac{1}{n-3}(-2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i|).$

Here is a proof in the easiest case, when each S_i contains a minimal shared multiset of the form $g^{-1}(a)$:

Lemma (Riemann-Hurwitz, 1857): *A rational function h of degree ℓ satisfies*

$$2\ell - 2 = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty} (\ell - |h^{-1}(a)_{\text{set}}|),$$

where S_{set} is the underlying set of a multiset S .

Applying the theorem for g with degree k gives

$$2k - 2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n (k - g^{-1}(a_i)) \geq nk - \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i|, \text{ so } k \leq \frac{1}{n-2} \left(-2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |S_i| \right).$$